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(From Chapter 90, Oregon Laws, Second Special Session, 1933)

Section 1. A special election shall be held in the several voting precincts throughout the state of Oregon on Friday, May 18, 1934. There shall be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the said special election, (1) all constitutional amendments proposed by the second special session of the thirty-seventh legislative assembly of the state of Oregon; (2) all measures and questions enacted at said session and referred to the voters, either directly by the legislature, or by referendum petition; and, (3) such constitutional amendments and measures as may be proposed by completed initiative petitions filed with the secretary of state not less than four months prior to the said special election, ordering specifically or optionally their submission thereat. The said election shall be held during the same hours on said day and in all respects in the same manner as are other elections as provided by law relating to regular general elections, and the votes cast on such constitutional amendments, measures and questions shall be counted, canvassed, returned and declared in the same manner as provided by law for measures voted upon at regular general elections.

Section 2. On or before April 3, 1934, any person or association of persons may file with the secretary of state any argument or statement favoring or opposing any of said constitutional amendments, measures or questions to be voted on by the people at such special election on the same terms and conditions as are provided therefor by law for the filing of such arguments or statements, as provided in section 1 hereof, the secretary of state shall cause to be printed in pamphlet form, in the manner now provided by law, a true copy of the title and text of each constitutional amendment, measure, and question herein mentioned to be submitted at such election, together with any such arguments or statements as may be filed, and shall, not less than 10 days prior to the date of said election, mail to each registered voter of the state a copy of such pamphlet; provided, that if the secretary of state shall, at about the same time be mailing any other pamphlet to every voter, he may, if practicable, bind the matter herein provided for in the first part of said pamphlet, numbering the pages of the entire pamphlet consecutively from one to the end, or he may inclose the pamphlets under one cover.

Section 3. Immediately after the time shall have expired for filing arguments or statements, as provided in section 1 hereof, the secretary of state shall cause to be printed in pamphlet form, in the manner now provided by law, a true copy of the title and text of each constitutional amendment, measure, and question herein mentioned to be submitted at such election, together with any such arguments or statements as may be filed, and shall, not less than 10 days prior to the date of said election, mail to each registered voter of the state a copy of such pamphlet; provided, that if the secretary of state shall, at about the same time be mailing any other pamphlet to every voter, he may, if practicable, bind the matter herein provided for in the first part of said pamphlet, numbering the pages of the entire pamphlet consecutively from one to the end, or he may inclose the pamphlets under one cover.

* * * * *
To section 10, article XI of the constitution of the state of Oregon, to be submitted to the legal voters of the state for their approval or rejection at the special election to be held May 18, 1934; proposed by the second special session of the thirty-seventh legislative assembly by senate joint resolution No. 2 filed in the office of the secretary of state December 12, 1933.

The following is the form and numerical designation of the proposed amendment as it will be printed on the official ballot:

Constitutional Amendment—Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

COUNTY INDEBTEDNESS AND FUNDING BOND CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT—Purpose: Requiring two-thirds vote in counties to authorize county indebtedness exceeding $5,000 for roads; authorizing counties to issue warrants evidencing liabilities imposed by law and which they are powerless to prevent; authorizing any county, upon approval by two-thirds vote of electors voting thereon, to issue bonds in amount equal to amount of its outstanding warrants December 31, 1933, with interest thereon to election date, but not exceeding 2½ per cent of assessed valuation of all property in such county; superseding existing special constitutional debt funding provisions for Benton, Clackamas, Crook, Curry, Klamath and Linn counties; but not releasing any existing liabilities.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 2

Be It Resolved by the Senate of the State of Oregon, the House of Representatives jointly concurring:

That section 10, article XI of the constitution of the state of Oregon be and the same hereby is amended so as to read as follows:

ARTICLE XI.

Sec. 10. No county shall create any debt or liabilities which shall singly or in the aggregate, with previous debts or liabilities exceed the sum of $5,000, except to suppress insurrection or repel invasion or to build or maintain permanent roads within the county; and debts for permanent roads shall be incurred only on approval of two-thirds of the qualified electors therein voting upon the question, and shall not either singly or in the aggregate, with previous debts and liabilities incurred for that purpose, exceed 6 per cent of the assessed valuation of all property in the county; provided, however, that any county may issue warrants drawn on its treasurer to evidence debts and liabilities imposed on it by law and which the county is powerless to prevent and may issue bonds not to exceed an amount equal to the amount of the warrants of said county outstanding on the thirty-first day of December, 1933, and all interest on same to date of said election, for the payment of which no funds were then available, provided that said bonds shall not at any time exceed in amount 2½ per cent of the assessed valuation of all property in the county, the proceeds from the sale of such bonds to be devoted to paying the said outstanding warrants and said interest thereon, but that such bonds shall be authorized by two-thirds of the qualified electors of the county voting on the question at any general or special election called and held for such purpose; provided further, that the county court may order a special election for said purpose and shall cause printed notices thereof, signed by the county clerk, to be posted at least 20 days before the date of election, in like manner as notices of a general election are now posted, which notices shall particularly specify the amount of bonds proposed.
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to be issued, the length of time they shall run, which shall not exceed 20 years, and the maximum rate of interest they shall bear, shall be serial in character payable one-twentieth or more each year after the date of issuance of said bonds, and said court shall have printed for use at such election, the same number of official and sample ballots as are required by the election laws at a general election, said ballots to specify the amount of bonds to be issued, the length of time they shall run, the maximum rate of interest they shall bear; and that said bonds shall be serial in character payable one-twentieth or more each year after the date of issuance of said bonds, and said election shall be conducted by the regularly appointed election officials and in accordance with the general laws, except as herein otherwise provided; provided further, that said serial bonds may be issued with optional dates of redemption, in the discretion of the county court, in which case such bonds shall be so further described in said notices of election and in said election ballots; provided further, that said bonds, when so authorized, may be sold by the county court for the best price obtainable subject to the limitations hereinabove set forth, and shall be in such form as the county court may prescribe; provided further, that all uncollected taxes heretofore levied for the payment of which said warrants were issued and all uncollected taxes levied for the payment of said warrants and the interest thereon, as collected, shall be set aside by the county treasurer, in a sinking fund for the payment of such bonds and such interest and that the county court shall each year, after the issuance of such bonds, levy a special tax in such an amount as may be necessary to pay the interest on said bonds and retire the serial amount payable in said year, such tax to be in addition to all other taxes provided by law and to be withheld by the county treasurer in the full amount of said levy and placed in said sinking fund for the payment of the principal of and interest on said bonds; and provided further, that the amendment of this section shall not release or extinguish any liability incurred under such section prior to the amendment hereof, and such amendment shall be treated as still remaining in force for the purpose of meeting the obligations heretofore incurred under said section of the constitution; be it further

Resolved, That said proposed amendment be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the next election held throughout the state of Oregon, whether the same be a general or special election; be it further

Resolved, That the secretary of the state of Oregon be and he hereby is authorized and directed to set aside two pages in the official pamphlet containing initiative and referendum measures to be voted upon at the next election, whether the same be a general election or a special election, in which articles in support of the foregoing amendment may be printed, and that a joint committee, consisting of two senators, to be appointed by the president of the senate, and three representatives, to be appointed by the speaker of the house, be appointed to prepare such arguments for publication and file the same with the secretary of state.

Filed in the office of the secretary of state December 12, 1933.

For affirmative argument see page 5.
to the Voters of Oregon, Special Election, May 18, 1934

(On Official Ballot, Nos. 300 and 301)

ARGUMENT (Affirmative)

Submitted by the joint committee of the senate and house of representatives, thirty-seventh legislative assembly, second special session, in behalf of the County Indebtedness and Funding Bond Constitutional Amendment.

In spite of the depression it was necessary that the civil government be maintained in the counties of the State of Oregon. Notwithstanding the fact that adequate tax levies were made for such expense, the taxes were not paid and several counties had to issue warrants "to evidence debts and liabilities imposed upon it by law and which the county is powerless to prevent". The quotation being the wording of the amendment.

The proposed amendment simply authorizes the voters of a county, if the question is submitted to them, to vote on the question of whether or not bonds for the funding of such warrants shall be issued. The adoption of the amendment does not mean that the bonds will be issued. Each county will have the right to vote on the question itself and the adoption of the funding plan will only take place when two-thirds of the electors voting upon the question vote for the issuance of the bonds. If a majority of the voters vote "yes" on this constitutional amendment, however, it will become part of the constitution of the state.

This plan is not new. Our constitution has been amended in the past to allow Crook, Curry, Linn, Benton, Klamath and Clackamas counties to make such funding issues, and the amendment will now allow elections to correct conditions brought on by as trying times as we have ever experienced in the history of our State.

Several counties in Oregon have large amounts of warrants outstanding, all of which were issued for the purpose of continuing civil government in their jurisdictions. The power of the communities to absorb these warrants has been exhausted, and the result is that the employees of the counties are taking large losses through the discounts they find it necessary to accept when they negotiate these warrants. The counties find that when they are in the market for supplies, the seller adds to the price of the goods he is furnishing the estimated amount of the discount he will have to take when he sells the warrant. The result is a greatly increased cost of government to the people and a stagnation of credit in the communities.

The reason purchasers of warrants demand a discount on them is primarily because of the fact that the warrants have no definite maturity and therefore, they are not negotiable instruments, and no interest will be received on them until they are paid, at which time all the accumulated interest on them is paid.

The counties with warrants outstanding could, if the amendment is passed, hold elections and vote on the question of issuing bonds, the form of which is specified in the amendment, and with the proceeds from the sale of the bonds pay the warrants. The amendment provides such bonds would have to be serial bonds, some of which would mature each year and the county would be required to levy a special tax for the payment of the bonds and interest thereon, and it also provides that all uncollected taxes heretofore levied for the purpose of meeting the obligations for the payment of which warrants were issued, and all taxes levied for the purpose of retiring such warrants, shall, as collected, be used to retire such bonds.

The amendment therefore, sets up specific and complete safeguards around the bonds and the elections at which they are authorized, including a limitation on the amount of bonds which can be issued, which is the amount of warrants outstanding December 31, 1933 plus accrued interest to the date of the election on the question of the issuance of the bonds. It is anticipated that should counties vote to issue the bonds, many holders of warrants would exchange their warrants for the bonds and begin receiving interest periodically. The market for municipal bonds at this time is greatly improved, and it is further anticipated that counties issuing funding bonds will be able to secure a lower interest rate than the warrants now bear and a material saving will be made.

The issuing of serial bonds to take up outstanding warrants should result in counties being able to reduce their taxes through spreading out payment of their obligations over a longer term. Every possible reduction in property taxation seems necessary at this time. The property taxes are the only source from which counties receive revenue for payment of such warrants or bonds issued to fund them.

The undersigned constitute a committee appointed pursuant to senate joint resolution No. 2 by the President of the senate and the Speaker of the house to prepare this argument. We strongly recommend the enactment of this measure.

JAY H. UPTON,
State Senator,
Bend, Oregon.

JOHN D. GOSS,
State Senator,
Marshfield, Oregon.

ELWIN A. McCORNACK,
State Representative,
Eugene, Oregon.

M. A. LYNCH,
State Representative,
Redmond, Oregon.

JOHN H. HALL,
State Representative,
Portland, Oregon.
To section 11, article I of the constitution of the state of Oregon, to be submitted to the legal voters of the state for their approval or rejection at the special election to be held May 18, 1934; proposed by the second special session of the thirty-seventh legislative assembly by senate joint resolution No. 4 filed in the office of the secretary of state December 12, 1933.

The following is the form and numerical designation of the proposed amendment as it will be printed on the official ballot:

Constitutional Amendment—Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

CRIMINAL TRIAL WITHOUT JURY AND NON-UNANIMOUS VERDICT
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT—Purpose: To provide by constitutional amendment that in criminal trials any accused person, in other than capital cases, and with the consent of the trial judge, may elect to waive trial by jury and consent to be tried by the judge of the court alone, such election to be in writing; provided, however, that in the circuit court ten members of the jury may render a verdict of guilty or not guilty, save and except a verdict of guilty of first degree murder, which shall be found only by a unanimous verdict, and not otherwise.

302 Yes. I vote for the proposed amendment. Vote YES or NO
303 No. I vote against the proposed amendment.

The following is the 25-word voting machine ballot title of the proposed amendment:

CRIMINAL TRIAL WITHOUT JURY AND NON-UNANIMOUS VERDICT
CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT—Purpose: Authorizing accused, with judge’s consent, to waive jury trial, except in capital cases; verdict, except guilty of first degree murder, by ten circuit court jurors.

SENATE JOINT RESOLUTION NO. 4
Be It Resolved by the Senate of the State of Oregon, the House of Representatives jointly concurring:

That section 11, article I of the constitution of the state of Oregon be, and the same hereby is, amended so as to read as follows:

ARTICLE I.

Sec. 11. Rights of Accused in Criminal Prosecution. In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall have the right to public trial by an impartial jury in the county in which the offense shall have been committed; to be heard by himself and counsel; to demand the nature and cause of the accusation against him, and to have a copy thereof; to meet the witnesses face to face, and to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor; provided, however, that any accused person, in other than capital cases, and with the consent of the trial judge, may elect to waive trial by jury and consent to be tried by the judge of the court alone, such election to be in writing; provided, however, that in the circuit court ten members of the jury may render a verdict of guilty or not guilty, save and except a verdict of guilty of first degree murder, which shall be found only by a unanimous verdict, and not otherwise; provided further, that the existing laws and constitutional provisions relative to criminal prosecutions shall be continued and remain in effect as to all prosecutions for crimes committed before the taking effect of this amendment; be it further Resolved, That said proposed amendment be submitted to the people for their approval or rejection at the next election held throughout the state of Oregon, whether the same be a general or special election; be it further Resolved, That the secretary of state of the state of Oregon be, and he hereby is, authorized and directed to set aside one page in the official pamphlet containing initiative and referendum measures to be voted upon at the next election, whether the same be a general election or a special election, in which articles in support of the foregoing amendment may be printed, and that a joint committee, consisting of one senator, to be appointed by the president of the senate, and two representatives, to be appointed by the speaker of the house, be appointed to prepare such arguments for publication and file the same with the secretary of state, and the page in which arguments against the foregoing amendment may be printed, which arguments may be furnished by any person interested; provided, that in case more material is offered than can be printed on one page of the pamphlet, the secretary of state shall select the part of such material to be printed.

Filed in the office of the secretary of state December 12, 1933.

For affirmative argument see page 7. For negative argument see page 8.
Submitted by the joint committee of the senate and house of representatives, thirty-seventh legislative assembly, second special session, in behalf of the Criminal Trial Without Jury and Non-Unanimous Verdict Constitutional Amendment.

The laws of Oregon now prohibit the court from commenting on the fact that the accused in a criminal case has failed to take the witness stand and testify in his own defense, and the judge is also prevented from commenting on the value of the evidence introduced on behalf of the defendant no matter how flimsy the defense of the accused may be. Our laws also require that the evidence against the defendant must be so conclusive as to the culprit's guilt that the jury must be convinced beyond any reasonable doubt or to a moral certainty of that guilt before it is privileged to find a verdict of guilty. Twelve jurors trying a criminal case must be unanimous in their decision before the defendant may be found guilty.

The proposed constitutional amendment is to prevent one or two jurors from controlling the verdict or causing a disagreement. The amendment has been endorsed by the district attorney's association of this state and is approved by the commission appointed by the governor to make recommendations amending criminal procedure.

Disagreements not only place the taxpayers to the expense of retrial which may again result in another disagreement, but congest the trial docket of the courts.

The amendment provides that a jury of ten may return a verdict save and except in first degree murder. A notable incident of one juror controlling the verdict is found in the case of State v. Silverman recently tried in Columbia county. In this case 11 jurors were for a verdict of murder in the second degree. One juror was for acquittal. To prevent disagreement 11 jurors compromised with the one juror by returning a verdict of manslaughter. This they were compelled to do to prevent large costs of retrial.

Disagreements occasioned by one or two jurors refusing to agree with 10 or 11 other jurors is a frequent occurrence.

One unreasonable juror of the 12, or one not understanding the instructions of the court can prevent a verdict either of guilt or innocence.

We believe that the people of Oregon will clearly see the reasonableness of the proposed change and vote favorably for this measure, which certainly is a step in the right direction.

ASHBY C. DICKSON,
State Senator, Portland, Oregon.

FRANK H. HILTON,
State Representative, Portland, Oregon.

F. H. DAMMASCH,
State Representative, Portland, Oregon.
Submitted by Richard Deich, opposing the Criminal Trial Without Jury and Non-Unanimous Verdict Constitutional Amendment.

It has been stated through the public press and otherwise that shrewd lawyers have been enabled to defeat justice because of the age-old custom of requiring a unanimous jury in criminal cases, by centering upon one or more jurors perhaps and getting what is known as a "hung jury" and eventually winning the case or causing the dismissal thereof. But as a matter of fact, no lawyer need care whether it would be a 12 man jury, the court itself, a majority jury or a 10 man jury who decides the case, because the lawyer will take the cloth as he finds it and cut the suit accordingly and he will win or lose his case just the same; but to the citizens of our great country who have paid dearly to establish this 12 man jury, it is all important.

"LAW

Laws, as we read in ancient ages,
Have been like cobwebs in all ages,
Cobwebs for little flies are spread.
And Laws for little folks are made;
But if an insect of renown,
Hornet or beetle, wasp or drone,
Be caught in quest of sport or plunder,
"The flimsy fetter flies in sunnder."

The particular amendment in question to section 11, Article 1 of the constitution of Oregon, is objectionable for other reasons than the above. One objection that seems overwhelming to me is the fact that anyone charged with murder in the first degree which means premeditated with malice aforethought, killing of a human being, is allowed the special privilege of no conviction unless 12 jurors unanimously agree; whereas, the small fry, the embezzler, the second degree murderer, the forger, the rapist and all lesser crimes, must take his chance on 10/12 jury. It would seem that it is putting a premium on what our law-makers and the public in general seem to believe is the worst criminal in the world. It would seem that the poet quoted above had this same idea in mind when he wrote his little known or heeded couplet.

In practically 10 years experience as a deputy prosecutor in Multnomah county, Oregon, I cannot recall a single instance in my own experience where I regretted the fact that less than a unanimous jury could bring in a conviction in a criminal case and I tried a goodly number of cases. I can remember probably a quarter of a century ago when the district attorney was considered one of the best, if not the best, lawyer in the community; whereas I do not necessarily need to call your attention to the fact that now he is possibly considered one of the poorest if not the worst. This is not necessarily a reflection on the legal ability of a district attorney of a large district like Seattle, Portland, San Francisco or Los Angeles because as a matter of course he cannot try all of the cases or even the most important cases but he should be able to organize his force whereby he would be represented by able and competent lawyers as deputies. In other words, the greatest asset of a district attorney in a large community is executive ability. This, of course, would be somewhat difficult to secure without adequate compensation for said deputies, even though the district attorney was possessed of the necessary executive qualifications.

I am against the amendment not because I feel it is an ill-advised move. It is a weak and ill-advised attempt to correct an evil that will be abortive because it will not get the results sought for. It is an attempt to repair the engine in your automobile by patching up a hole in the exhaust pipe.

RICHARD DEICH,
State Representative,
Fifth District, Portland, Ore.
A MEASURE

To provide for the location, construction, operation and maintenance of a state tuberculosis hospital in Multnomah county, submitted to the legal voters of the state of Oregon for their approval or rejection at the special election to be held May 18, 1934, pursuant to chapter 55, Oregon Laws, second special session, 1933, (house bill 130), filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933.

The following is the form and numerical designation of the proposed measure as it will be printed on the official ballot:

Proposed Law—Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

BILL AUTHORIZING A STATE TUBERCULOSIS HOSPITAL IN MULT­NOMAH COUNTY—Purpose: To authorize the location, construction, operation and maintenance by the state of Oregon of a tuberculosis hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon, when funds are available therefor.

304 Yes. I vote for the proposed law. Vote YES or NO

305 No. I vote against the proposed law.

The following is the 25-word voting machine ballot title of the proposed measure:

BILL AUTHORIZING A STATE TUBERCULOSIS HOSPITAL IN MULT­NOMAH COUNTY—Purpose: To authorize location, construction, operation and maintenance by the state of Oregon of a tuberculosis hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon, when funds are available therefor.

OREGON LAWS, SECOND SPECIAL SESSION, 1933
Chapter 55
(House Bill No. 130, Second Special Session, Thirty-seventh Legislative Assembly)

AN ACT
To provide for the location, construction, operation and maintenance of a state tuberculosis hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

Section 1. A state tuberculosis hospital hereby is authorized and directed to be located, constructed, operated and maintained in Multnomah county, state of Oregon, and the Oregon state board of control hereby is authorized and directed to proceed to locate, construct, operate and maintain such hospital, and to secure the necessary land therefor, when funds are available therefor, in the same manner as said board is authorized and directed to maintain other state hospitals in the state of Oregon.

Section 2. This act shall be and hereby is submitted to the legal voters of the state of Oregon for their approval or rejection, pursuant to the provisions of section 3 of article XIV of the constitution of the state of Oregon, in the same manner as provided for the submission of proposed laws to the people under the initiative and referendum.

Approved by the governor December 15, 1933.
Filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933.
To provide for the location, construction, operation and maintenance of a state insane hospital in Multnomah county, submitted to the legal voters of the state of Oregon for their approval or rejection at the special election to be held May 18, 1934, pursuant to chapter 56, Oregon Laws; second special session, 1933, (house bill 131), filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933.

The following is the form and numerical designation of the proposed measure as it will be printed on the official ballot:

Proposed Law—Referred to the People by the Legislative Assembly

BILL AUTHORIZING A STATE INSANE HOSPITAL IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY—Purpose: To authorize the location, construction, operation and maintenance by the state of Oregon of an insane hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon, when funds are available therefor.

306 Yes. I vote for the proposed law. Vote YES or NO

307 No. I vote against the proposed law.

The following is the 25-word voting machine ballot title of the proposed measure:

BILL AUTHORIZING A STATE INSANE HOSPITAL IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY—Purpose: To authorize location, construction, operation and maintenance by the state of Oregon of an insane hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon, when funds are available therefor.

OREGON LAWS, SECOND SPECIAL SESSION, 1933
Chapter 56

(House Bill No. 131, Second Special Session, Thirty-seventh Legislative Assembly)

AN ACT

To provide for the location, construction, operation and maintenance of a state insane hospital in Multnomah county, Oregon.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

Section 1. A state insane hospital is hereby authorized and directed to be located, constructed, operated and maintained in Multnomah county, state of Oregon, and the Oregon state board of control is hereby authorized and directed to proceed to locate, construct, operate and maintain such hospital, and to secure the necessary land therefor, when funds are available therefor, in the same manner as said board is authorized and directed to maintain other state hospitals in the state of Oregon.

Section 2. This act shall be and is hereby submitted to the legal voters of the state of Oregon for their approval or rejection, pursuant to the provisions of section 3 of article XIV of the constitution of the state of Oregon, in the same manner as provided for the submission of proposed laws to the people under the initiative and referendum.

Approved by the governor December 15, 1933.

Filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933.

For affirmative argument see pages 11, 12.
to the Voters of Oregon, Special Election, May 18, 1934

(On Official Ballot, Nos. 306 and 307)

ARGUMENT (Affirmative)

Submitted by George Tazwell in behalf of the Bill Authorizing a State Insane Hospital in Multnomah County.

SIX OUT OF EVERY TEN PATIENTS FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY

More than sixty per cent of the patients sent to the Oregon hospitals at Salem and Pendleton are from Multnomah County. These hospitals are overcrowded and additional room is sorely needed to take care of the increased number of patients.

SAVINGS TO THE TAXPAYER

From an economic point of view, a hospital in Multnomah County would save thousands of dollars. Large sums are expended in sending attendants from Salem and Pendleton to convey the patients to these institutions. Nearly all these expenses would be saved to the state if a hospital were located in Multnomah County, and again building a hospital in Multnomah County would not be any more expensive than building additions to the present institutions in Salem and in Pendleton, because the

STATE OWNS EIGHTY ACRES OF LAND IN MULTNOMAH COUNTY

Some years ago C. S. Jackson, the owner of the Oregon Journal, gave to the state land for a hospital. On this land are situated the U. S. Veterans' Hospital, Doernbecher Memorial Hospital for Children, the County Hospital, Free Dispensary Hospital and the University of Oregon Medical School.

A HUMAN POINT OF VIEW

But aside from the purely economic aspect there is the more important feature to be considered, namely, the humane side.

In many instances both the physical and mental weaknesses of the patients, the long journey from Portland to these hospitals and the extremes of cold in winter and heat in summer have caused me many pangs of regret at being compelled to direct their being sent to a hospital so far away.

FROM DAILY CONTACT

For several years I have been vested with the legal power of a committing magistrate, sitting in judgment upon those brought before me for the reasons of their insanity, and I have been faced with the necessity of directing the commitment and detention of these defenseless people to institutions that are already overcrowded. While these hospitals are thoroughly efficient in management and equipment for their quota, it is impossible to supply the care and treatment essential to the comfort and convenience of their overflowing mass of new cases.

ASYLUMS OVERCROWDED

The institutions at Salem having been for a long time more than crowded to capacity at Pendleton are rapidly assuming a similar condition. Of necessity, an addition to one or the other or both must be made unless hospitals are to be built in Multnomah County.

SIXTY PER CENT FROM MULTNOMAH COUNTY

More than 60 per cent of all mental cases have their origin in Multnomah County. If a hospital is built in Multnomah County, it will relieve the congested condition in the present institutions and those patients that have been committed from Multnomah, Hood River, Clackamas, Yamhill, Clatsop, Columbia, Washington and Tillamook Counties might well be transferred to the new institutions. In this way relatives and friends would be able to visit their loved ones at a minimum of either time or expense.

MR. VOTER, THIS IS YOUR QUESTION. WHAT IS YOUR ANSWER?

Whether an institution of the kind suggested by the last session of our Legislature shall be situated in Multnomah County where over 60 per cent of the mental cases of the entire state arise or whether additions shall be built to the present state institutions at Salem and Pendleton, it is imperative that additional quarters be provided, and where a majority by far is in Multnomah County. This would allow better treatment by relieving the institutions to those who have been committed all over the state.

Personally, I am adverse to burdening the taxpaying public with any further taxation but we cannot permit the insane to run at large to the possible harm to themselves or the public in general.

SAM JACKSON PARK AN IDEAL LOCATION

This State-owned property given by C. S. Jackson would make an ideal location for the erection of these hospitals. Portland is now and has long been regarded the medical center of the Pacific Northwest.

A BENEFIT TO THE WHOLE STATE

While Multnomah County alone contributes, as I have heretofore stated, the great majority of the inmates of our state hospitals, a large number of cases are being committed from the surrounding counties of Hood River, Clackamas, Yamhill, Clatsop, Columbia, Washington and Tillamook, and the residents of these counties, I feel confident, would welcome the prospect of having their loved ones cared for at this more convenient point of contact.

INSTRUCTED TO SEND TO PENDLETON

More than a year ago the State Board of Control, as is within their statutory powers, directed that I send no more patients to the already overcrowded State Hospital at Salem, but that future commitments be made to Pendleton. I have many times appealed to the Board
and to the State's chief executive, personally and by correspondence, in an effort to have them change their order, and, indeed, have rebelled against their ruling, and have sent to the nearer institution at Salem, and, indeed, have to some extent rebelled against their ruling, and have sent to the nearer institution at Salem, come to me, asking if transportation would be done for their machine so they could make the trip to see the miracle workers, and relatives should not be denied the privilege of visitation because they have not the time nor means to make the long trip to Pendleton.

HARDSHIPS ON FAMILIES AND FRIENDS

By sending patients to Pendleton, I know what it means, because during these hard times, I have many times had parents and other close relatives come to the Children's Hospital. Free could not be granted them so they could go and visit their loved ones and many times it has come to my knowledge that the family has gone without the necessary food and clothing in order that they may be enabled to visit their relatives. Personally I have been so touched by their appeal that I have paid for their transportation when they did not own a machine and have furnished gas for their machine so they could make the trip to see the unhapped relatives that I have had to commit to Pendleton or Salem. This is all wrong and relatives should not be denied the privilege of visitation because they have not the time or means to make the long trip to Pendleton.

I APPEAL TO YOU, MR. VOTER, ON BEHALF OF THE UNFORTUNATE FAMILIES AND FRIENDS: THE QUESTION IS YOURS. WHAT IS YOUR ANSWER?

As the law of the State of Oregon reads that all institutions must be built and maintained in Salem, the capital city, unless the voters of the State of Oregon vote to allow an institution to be built in Pendleton, I appeal to the voters to vote 306 YES on the establishing of a hospital in Multnomah County from the standpoint of purely economic reasons as it will stop the present expensive system of sending attendants from the institutions at Salem and Pendleton to Portland and nearby counties and as well the additional cost of transportation of patients. To this must be added the further expense of the relatives and friends who desire the right of visitation and who are compelled to lose time from their daily pursuits in traveling to end from Salem and Pendleton, as the case may be, to say nothing of the incidental cost of these trips.

WHY NOT BUILD IN SAM JACKSON PARK ON THE EIGHTY ACRES OF LAND NOW OWNED BY THE STATE?

This property is ideally located for hospitals. Situated on the heights, it commands a beautiful view of the snow-clad mountains. Here the patients would breathe pure air and rest in peace and quiet. A better location could not be found. The U. S. Veterans' Hospital, the Doernbecher Memorial Hospital for Children, the County Dispensary Hospital, and the University of Oregon Medical School have found the location satisfactory in every way. Why not give those less fortunate than ourselves the best location and treatment that can be had, and it would cost the taxpayers much less by so doing.

EDITORIAL ENDORSEMENTS

Oregon Daily Journal editorial of December 8, 1933—"In Humanity's Name." Extract—"In his capacity as the judicial committing official for Multnomah County, Circuit Judge Tazwell is in position to fully understand and appreciate the problems and the trials of those who must become wards of the State Hospital, and of their relatives, whose burdens, under the most favorable conditions, are oppressive. "Urging legislative action that will permit popular vote in 1934 on the plan to establish a branch hospital in Multnomah County, Judge Tazwell points out the hardships to this unfortunate class, who are present in Multnomah County, unless the voters of the State of Oregon vote to allow an institution to be built elsewhere, I appeal to the voters of the State to support your state institutions at Salem and Pendleton to standpoint of purely economical reasons. To this must be added the further expense of the relatives and patients. To the people of Multnomah County, Judge Tazwell recommends a mental hospital in Multnomah County to accommodate patients from that county and from nearby counties, as the logical answer to the problem. "In the name of humanity, the plea is sensible and worthy." The Oregonian editorial of December 13, 1933—"The Judge and the Hospital." Extracts—"Judge George Tazwell has been the committing magistrate for Multnomah County of insane persons. In greater degree than most of us he is in a position to judge of the necessity for psychiatric hospital facilities in Portland. And he declares the necessity is pressing. "Perhaps few of our citizens realize the imperative necessity for immediate action toward this objective," wrote the judge recently to a member of the Oregon Legislature. "It has been constantly before me in my capacity as the judicial committing official for a considerable period, but the crying need for such an institution has never been more glaringly apparent than now. "That is a plea from high and highly informed authority. There is no lack of corroborating evidence. No more worthy effort on behalf of a class of people tragically under a set under way than that for establishment of a psychiatric hospital in Portland."

BE SURE TO VOTE 306 YES

The voters of our commonwealth can sanction by their ballots no wiser or more beneficent measure, nor point with pride to no more glorious achievement than the creation of hospitals at such as the one I humbly present for your earnest consideration, an institution for the salvation of our mentally distressed sisters and brothers. Be sure to vote 306 YES for this hospital.

Thank you.

GEORGE TAZWELL,
Courthouse, Portland, Oregon.
To provide for school relief by imposing a privilege tax measured by gross receipts from retail sales of tangible personal property and utility service, etc., filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933; to be submitted to the legal voters of the state for their approval or rejection at the special election to be held May 18, 1934, pursuant to referendum petition filed in the office of the secretary of state March 8, 1934, in accordance with the provisions of section 1 of article IV of the constitution of the state of Oregon.

The following is the form and numerical designation of the proposed measure as it will be printed on the official ballot:

Referred Bill—Referendum Ordered by Petition of the People

SCHOOL RELIEF SALES TAX BILL—Purpose: To provide for relief of the existing serious financial condition of the public schools, due to unusual tax delinquency, by collecting a tax of one and one-half per cent of gross receipts from retail sales of tangible personal property and utility service, such tax to be paid by the seller and by him collected from the purchaser; exempting gross receipts of each person up to $50 a month; all net revenues derived from such tax to be apportioned to school districts and the amount thereof deducted from school taxes on property; this law to be effective until June 30, 1936.

308 Yes. I vote for the proposed law. Vote YES or NO

309 No. I vote against the proposed law.

The following is the 25-word voting machine ballot title of the proposed measure:

SCHOOL RELIEF SALES TAX BILL—Purpose: Relieve public schools by collecting 1½% tax on retail sales over $50 monthly of tangible personal property and utility service; deducting from property taxes.

OREGON LAWS, SECOND SPECIAL SESSION, 1933
(House Bill No. 110, Second Special Session, Thirty-seventh Legislative Assembly)
AN ACT
To provide for school relief by imposing a privilege tax measured by gross receipts from retail sales of tangible personal property and utility service; providing for the levying, assessing, collecting and paying of such tax; prescribing penalties for violations of the provisions of the act; making an appropriation for the administration of the act; providing for transfers to the common school fund of net revenues derived from said tax and for apportionments therefrom to the school funds of the several counties and local districts.

Be It Enacted by the People of the State of Oregon:

Section 1. For the purposes of this act and unless the context clearly indicates a different meaning:

(a) The word "person" includes any individual, firm, copartnership, joint adventure, association, corporation, municipal corporation, estate, trust, receiver, syndicate or any other group or combination acting as a unit, and the plural as well as the singular number.

(b) The word "taxpayer" means any person subject to the tax imposed by this act.

(c) The word "business" includes all activities engaged in, or caused to be engaged in, by any person with the object of gain, profit, benefit or advantage, either direct or indirect.

(d) The word "sale" means any transfer, exchange, or barter, conditional or otherwise, in any manner or by any means whatsoever, of tangible personal property or utility service for a consideration, and includes the furnishing, preparing or serving for a consideration of any tangible personal property consumed on the premises of the person furnishing, preparing or serving the same. A transaction whereby the possession of tangible personal property is transferred but the seller retains the
title as security for the payment of the
selling price shall be deemed a sale.

e) A "retail sale" or "sale at retail" means any transfer of the ownership of,
or title to, tangible personal property and
any sale of utility service to a pur-
chaser, for use or consumption and not
for resale in any form of tangible per-
sonal property or as utility service, for
a valuable consideration. Sales of building
materials to contractors, builders or
land owners for resale or use in the form of
real estate shall not be included.

(f) The word "retailer" includes every
person engaged or engaging in the busi-
ness of selling tangible personal property
or utility service at retail; but the iso-
lated or occasional sale of tangible per-
sonal property or utility service by a
person who does not hold himself out as
engaging in the business of selling such
property or service at retail does not
constitute engaging in such business.

(g) The words "utility service" mean
and include all services furnished by tele-
graph, telephone, heat, light, power,
water, gas, and electric companies, or
by any person engaged in any such busi-
ness, for consumption or use and not
for resale in the form of such service.

(h) The word "gross receipts" means
the total amount of the sale price of
retail sales of tangible personal property
or utility service, including any serv-
ices that are a part of such sales, valued
in money, whether received in money or
otherwise, and also any amount for
which credit is allowed by the seller to
the purchaser, without deduction on ac-
count of the cost of the property sold,
the cost of the materials used, labor or
service cost, interest paid, losses or any
other expense whatsoever; provided,
however, that cash discounts allowed
and taken on sales shall not be included.

and gross receipts shall not include the
value of goods sold to customers when the full sale price
thereof is refunded, either in cash or by
credit, nor shall "gross receipts" include
the amount received for labor in install-
ments, applying, remodeling or repairing
the property sold. The total amount of
the sale price above mentioned shall be
deemed to be the amount received ex-
clusive of the tax hereby imposed; pro-
vided, that a retailer shall establish to
the satisfaction of the commission that
said tax was added to the sale price and
not absorbed by him.

(i) The words "tax commission" and
"commission" mean the state tax com-
mission of Oregon.

Section 2. For the privilege of selling
tangible personal property or utility
service at retail, a tax hereby is imposed
upon retailers at the rate of one and
one-half per cent of the gross receipts
and the total amount of such gross
receipts, and the total amount of the
taxable personal property or utility
service sold at retail in this state on and
after the effective date of this act and to
and including June 30, 1936. Such tax
shall be paid at the time and in the man-
ner prescribed by the commission and
shall be in addition to all other occupation
or privilege taxes imposed by the state of
Oregon or by any municipal corporation
or political subdivision thereof.

Section 3. From and after the effec-
tive date of this act, any person engage-
ing in or conducting any business for
which a privilege tax is imposed by this
act, shall be deemed to have applied for
and duly obtained from the state of Ore-
gon a license to engage in and conduct
such business, upon the condition that
he shall pay the tax accruing under the
provisions of this act; and such person
shall be thereby duly licensed to engage
in and conduct such business.

Section 4. In any case where tangible
personal property or utility service is
sold at retail under a contract made
prior to the effective date of this act,
which specifies the sale price and such
sale is taxable under this act, the seller
may add the tax imposed by this act to
the sale price and collect it from the
buyer.

Section 5. There shall be exempted
from the tax imposed by this act the fol-
lowing:

(a) Gross receipts from sales of ta-
ngible personal property or utility service
which this state is prohibited from tax-
ing under the constitution or laws of the
United States or under the constitu-
tion of this state.

(b) Gross receipts from sales of ta-
ngible personal property or utility service
used for the performance of a contract
on public works executed prior to the
effective date of this act.

(c) Gross receipts from retail sales of
motor vehicle fuels upon which a tax
has heretofore been imposed by this state.

(d) Gross receipts from sales of tan-
thile personal property or utility service
by farmers, producers, manufacturers,
wholesalers or jobbers to farmers,
producers or other dealers for resale,
but this exemption shall not apply to such
retail sales of tangible personal property
or utility service as may be made by
farmers, producers, manufacturers,
wholesalers or jobbers.

(e) Gross receipts of each person from
retail sales of tangible personal property
or utility service to the extent of $50
a month.

Section 6. A governmental agency may
apply to the commission for refund of
the tax imposed hereunder and paid by it
on purchases of tangible personal
property or utility service. Such refunds
may be obtained only in the manner and
under conditions as follows:

(a) On forms furnished by the com-
mision and within 60 days of the time
of payment of the tax, the governmental
agency shall report to the commission
the total amount of amounts, valued in
money, expended by it for purchases of
tangible personal property or utility
service at retail in this state.

(b) On said forms the governmental
agency shall separately list the persons
making the sales to it, or to its order,
together with the dates of the sales, and
the total amounts so expended.

(c) The governmental agency must
prove to the satisfaction of the commis-
sion that the person making the sales
has included the amount thereof in his
gross receipts and that such person has
paid the tax imposed on such
purchases.

If the foregone provisions of this sec-
ction have been complied with by the
governmental agency, it shall be ex-
empt from the tax.

As used in this act, the words "gov-
ernmental agency" shall mean the state
and its subdivisions, and any munici-
pal corporation or other political subdi-
vision of the state.

Section 7. Every retailer shall report
the amount of tax imposed upon him
for each quarter calendar year or such
portion thereof as shall be within the
city of the state. Such report shall be
made on forms prescribed by the com-
mision and shall be filed annually be-
fore the thirtieth day of January,
preceding the following calendar year,
commencing January 1, 1937. The calen-
dar quarter ending on the thirtieth day
of March, 1937, shall be an introductory
quarter, and no report shall be filed
therein. A retailer shall be required to
pay into the state treasury a sum not to
exceed $100 for each quarter calendar
time of which the report was delin-
gent.

Section 8. A governmental agency
shall make the report required by this
section in the following manner:

(a) On forms furnished by the com-
mision and within 60 days of the time
of payment of the tax, the governmental
agency shall report to the commission
the total amount of amounts, valued in
money, expended by it for purchases of
tangible personal property or utility
service. Such refunds may be obtained only in the manner and
under conditions as follows:

(b) On said forms the governmental
agency shall separately list the persons
making the sales to it, or to its order,
together with the dates of the sales, and
the total amounts so expended.

(c) The governmental agency must
prove to the satisfaction of the commis-
sion that the person making the sales
has included the amount thereof in his
gross receipts and that such person has
paid the tax imposed on such
purchases.

If the foregone provisions of this sec-
ction have been complied with by the
governmental agency, it shall be ex-
empt from the tax.
paid the tax imposed by this act, based on such computation of gross receipts.

If the commission is satisfied that the
foregoing conditions and requirements have been met, it shall determine the amount of the refund and draw its warrant therefor, payable to the governmental agency.

As used in this section "governmental agency" refers to the United States or to the state of Oregon, their departments and institutions, or to any county, municipal agency or other governmental subdivision of this state.

Section 7. It shall be unlawful for any retailer to advertise or represent to the public that the tax or any part thereof imposed by this act will be assumed or absorbed by the retailer, or that it or any part will be refunded. Any person selling tangible personal property or utility service under regulation of governmental agencies, who can add the tax hereby imposed to the charges otherwise fixed for such property or service, may add the tax hereby imposed to the charges thereby and, upon conviction thereof, shall be fined not less than $100 nor more than $1,000, in the discretion of the court, for each offense.

Section 8. The tax hereby imposed shall be collected by the retailer from the purchaser in so far as may reasonably be done. This section hereby is declared to be separable and distinct from all other portions of this act, and shall not be deemed a consideration or inducement for the retailer to collect the whole or any portion of this act. If this section shall be for any reason declared invalid, the remainder of this act shall remain in full force and effect and be operative.

Section 9. The tax hereby imposed shall be a direct obligation of the retailer and shall be due and payable in monthly instalments periods or before the tenth day of the calendar month next following, the first such instalment becoming due and payable on or before the tenth day of the month next following the calendar month in which this act shall become effective. The retailer shall make out a return for the preceding month, from the date the tax was due until paid.

Section 10. The commission may, by regulation, provide that the amount collected by the retailer from the customer, in respect of taxes imposed by this act, shall be displayed separately from the list advertised in the premises, or be separately exhibited on the sales check or other proof of sale.

Section 11. The commission, for good cause shown, may extend the time for filing any return required under this act, on application of the taxpayer, but such extension of time shall not exceed 30 days. When the time for filing any return is extended, the commissioner may, in the discretion of the court, for each offense.

Section 12. (a) As soon as practicable after the return is filed, the commission shall examine it and compute the tax. If the amount paid exceeds the amount which should have been paid, the excess shall be refunded by the commission.

(b) If the amount of the tax paid by the retailer exceeds the amount paid, the deficiency together with interest thereon at the rate of 5 per cent per month or fraction of a month, from the date the tax was due, shall remain in full force and effect and be Operative.

(c) If any part of the deficiency is due to fraud with intent to evade the tax, there shall be added not more than 100 per cent of the total amount of the deficiency and, in addition, interest at the rate of 1 per cent per month or fraction of a month, from the date the tax was due until paid.

(d) All payments received shall be credited first to penalty and interest accrued and then to tax due.

Section 13. If it shall appear that an amount of tax due is not due under the provisions of this act, whether as the result of a mistake of fact or an error of law, then such amount shall be credited against any tax due, or to become due, to the state or to any county, municipal agency or other governmental subdivision of the state.

Section 14. If any person who has failed to file any return required by this act, or has filed an incorrect or incomplete return, refuses or neglects to file a proper return within 10 days after notification by the commission of his delinquency, the commission shall deter-
mine the gross receipts of such person and compute the tax from the best information available and such determination and computation shall be prima facie correct for the purpose of this act. The commission shall give such person written notice of the tax or additional tax assessed, together with notice of the time and place where he may be heard on a petition by him for reassessment; such notice may be given personally or by regular mail addressed to the person. Any decision after hearing or opportunity for hearing duly given, together with penalties and accrued interest, shall be a lien on all the property of such person until discharged by payment and, if ten years after demand therefor by the commission, there shall be added a penalty of not more than 100 per cent of the tax and interest at the rate of 1 per cent per year, or fraction of a month, from the time the tax was originally due until paid.

Section 15. The burden of proving that a sale of tangible personal property or utility service was not a sale at retail shall be upon the person making the addition unless such person shall have taken from the purchaser a certificate, signed by and bearing the name and address of the purchaser, to the effect that the property or service was purchased for resale in the form of tangible personal property or utility service. For the proper administration of this act and to prevent evasion of the tax hereby imposed, it shall be presumed that all gross receipts are subject to the tax until the contrary is established.

Section 16. For the purpose of ascertaining the correctness of any return, or for the purpose of determining the amount of tax, if any, due from any person engaged in the sale of tangible personal property or utility service at retail, the commission, or any officer or employee thereof, may conduct investigations or hold hearings concerning the matter in issue. The commission may examine any books, papers, records or memoranda bearing on the sales of tangible personal property or utility service of any such person, and may require the attendance of such person or any officer or employee of such person, or of any person having knowledge of such sales, and may take testimony and require proof for its information. In the conduct of any investigation or hearing, neither the commission nor any officer or employee thereof shall be bound by the technical rules of evidence and no infirmity in any proceeding, or in the manner of taking testimony, shall invalidate any order, decision, rule or regulation made or issued by the commission. Any officer or employee of the commission shall have power to administer oaths to such persons.

Section 17. No person shall be excused from testifying or from producing books, papers, records or memoranda in any investigation or hearing, when ordered to do so by the commission or any officer or employee thereof, on the ground that the testimony or evidence, documentary or otherwise, may tend to incriminate him or subject him to a criminal penalty, but no person shall be prosecuted or subjected to any criminal penalty for, or on account of, any transaction made or thing done in good faith in accordance with any written notice made or issued by the commission, unless such person shall have been given an opportunity for hearing and opportunity for an examination of the record of the proceeding and for taking testimony and producing evidence, documentary or otherwise, under oath. No person so testifying shall be exempt from prosecution and punishment for perjury committed in so testifying.

Section 18. If any person liable for a tax levied hereunder shall sell out his business or stock of goods or shall quit the business, he shall make a final return within 30 days after the date of selling or quitting business. His successor, if any, shall withhold sufficient of the purchase money to cover the amount of such taxes and interest or penalties due and unpaid until such time as the former owner shall make a final return from the commission showing that they have been paid, or a certificate stating that no taxes are due. If the purchaser of a business or stock of goods shall fail to withhold purchase money as above provided for, he shall be subject to the payment of the taxes, interest and penalties accrued and unpaid on account of the operation of the business by the former owner.

Section 19. In the event that any retailer is delinquent in the payment of the tax herein provided for, the commission may give notice of the amount of such delinquency registered mail to all persons having in their possession, or under their control, any credits or other personal property belonging to such retailer, or owing any debts to such retailer at the time of receipt of such notice. Thereafter any person so notified shall not transfer nor make any other disposition of such credits, other personal property or debts in their possession, or from the date of the notice of assessment, and, in any proceeding to determine which the date when the amount stated in the notice was due, the person so notified within five days after receipt of such notice, or any officer, employee or agent of such person, or of any person having knowledge of such sales, and may take testimony and require proof for its information. In the event of any investigation or hearing, neither the commission nor any officer or employee thereof shall be bound by the technical rules of evidence and no infirmity in any proceeding, or in the manner of taking testimony, shall invalidate any order, decision, rule or regulation made or issued by the commission. Any officer or employee of the commission shall have power to administer oaths to such persons.

Section 20. (a) Any person who has paid a tax under this act, or any applicant for refund of such tax may apply to the commission for revision of such tax assessed against him, at any time within six months from the filing of the return or from the date of the notice of assessment of any additional tax. The commission may grant a hearing thereon and, in case of any violation of this section, may order to do so by the commission or any officer or employee thereof, on the ground that the testimony or evidence, documentary or otherwise, may tend to incriminate him or subject him to a criminal penalty, but no person shall be prosecuted or subjected to any criminal penalty for, or on account of, any transaction made or thing done in good faith in accordance with any written notice made or issued by the commission, unless such person shall have been given an opportunity for hearing and opportunity for an examination of the record of the proceeding and for taking testimony and producing evidence, documentary or otherwise, under oath. No person so testifying shall be exempt from prosecution and punishment for perjury committed in so testifying.

(b) The determination of the commission, on any such application made by a taxpayer for refund of any tax, may be reviewed in any court of competent jurisdiction on complaint filed against the commission, the taxpayer, or any of the principal places of business of the taxpayer, on the ground that the decision is not in accordance with the law and facts. The commission shall not make the amount of the tax assessed against the taxpayer the amount, if any, paid in excess of the tax found to be due.
Section 22. Every tax imposed by this act, and all increases, interest and penalties thereon shall be not only a lien upon the property of any person subject to the tax, but shall also become, from the due date of such tax, a personal debt from such person to the state of Oregon. Action may be brought at any time by the attorney general or any district attorney, at the instance of the taxpayer or the tax commission, in the name of the state, to recover the amount of any tax, interest and penalties due under the provisions of this act.

Section 23. The tax commission is authorized to make congrurate and enforce such rules and regulations relating to the administration and enforcement of the provisions of this act as may be deemed expedient. Such rules and regulations may embody schedules or methods of determining the computation of the tax on sales falling below certain maximum prices, or of eliminating fractions of one cent in applying the tax to sales falling within certain prices.

Section 24. All information received by the tax commission from investigations conducted under the provisions of this act shall be confidential, except for official purposes, and any officer or employee of the commission who divulges any such information in any manner, without a proper judicial order or as otherwise provided by law, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than $1,000, or be imprisoned in the county jail for not more than six months, or be both so fined and imprisoned, in the discretion of the court.

Section 25. Any person who shall fail or refuse to make any return required by this act, or shall make any false or fraudulent return with intent to evade the tax, or shall refuse to permit the tax collector or any officer or employee of the commission to the service of such person, shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and, on conviction thereof, shall be fined not more than $1,000, or be imprisoned in the county jail for not more than six months, or be both so fined and imprisoned, in the discretion of the court.

Section 26. (a) All revenues derived from this act shall be paid forthwith by the tax commission to the state treasurer and shall be placed by said treasurer in the general fund, and much of such revenues as may be necessary for the payment of the expenses of the tax commission in administering the provisions of this act shall constitute an appropriation from the general fund for said purpose, to be disbursed in the manner provided by law.
(b) After payment of such administrative expenses, the net revenues derived from this act, excepting not more than $10,000, shall be transferred by the state treasurer, on or before the last business day of each month, from the general fund to the common school fund, for apportionment to the several counties and school districts of the state, as hereinafter provided.

Section 27. (a) Twenty-five per cent of the moneys in the common school fund shall, on the first day of July, 1934, and on the first day of each month thereafter, be remitted by warrants drawn by the secretary of state on said fund in favor of the treasurers of the several counties and shall be apportioned to the several counties according to the respective valuations of the taxable property therein as last equalized and determined by the state tax commission. Such amounts so paid to each county shall accrue to the public school fund and shall be apportioned to the several school districts in the manner now provided by law. Such revenue accruing to the county school fund of each county during each fiscal school year shall be levied and levied to secure the property tax otherwise required to be levied for the county school fund. On or before November 10th of each year, the state tax commission shall furnish each county with an estimate of the amount already received from the common school fund of his county during the remainder of the current fiscal school year, which will accrue to the county school fund of his county during the remainder of the current fiscal school year. This estimate, together with the amount already received from the common school fund of his county during the current fiscal school year, shall be the amount by which the assessor shall reduce the amount budgeted or levied for the county school fund, and which otherwise would be extended by him on the assessment roll of such county.

(b) Seventy-five per cent of the moneys in the common school fund shall, on the first day of each month, be remitted by warrants drawn by the secretary of state on said fund in favor of the treasurers of the several counties and shall be apportioned to the several counties according to the respective valuations of the taxable property therein as last equalized and determined by the state tax commission. Such amounts so paid to each county shall accrue to the public school fund and shall be apportioned to the several school districts in the manner now provided by law. Such revenue accruing to the county school fund of each county during each fiscal school year shall be levied and levied to secure the property tax otherwise required to be levied for the county school fund. On or before November 10th of each year, the state tax commission shall furnish each county with an estimate of the amount already received from the common school fund of his county during the remainder of the current fiscal school year, which will accrue to the county school fund of his county during the remainder of the current fiscal school year. This estimate, together with the amount already received from the common school fund of his county during the current fiscal school year, shall be the amount by which the assessor shall reduce the amount budgeted or levied for the county school fund, and which otherwise would be extended by him on the assessment roll of such county.

Over 150 elementary pupils in average daily attendance, 1 classroom unit for each 30 pupils in average daily attendance or one-fifth fraction thereof.

A joint district shall be credited with such part of its total elementary classroom units as the number of its resident elementary pupils in average daily attendance in the school to the total number of elementary pupils in average daily attendance in the school. No elementary school district or subdistrict shall be entitled to elementary classroom units in excess of the actual number of elementary teachers, principals, and supervisors employed.

(b) The number of the high school classroom units shall be determined on the basis of the number of pupils in average daily attendance in the high school grade of each district or subdistrict maintaining a high school as prescribed by the state board of education, and shall be based on the average daily attendance as shown in the last annual report of each such district:

21 pupils or less in average daily attendance, 1 classroom unit.
22 to 42 pupils in average daily attendance, 2 classroom units.
43 to 70 pupils in average daily attendance, 3 classroom units.
71 to 125 pupils in average daily attendance, 4 classroom units.
126 to 150 pupils in average daily attendance, 5 classroom units.
Over 150 pupils in average daily attendance, 1 high school classroom unit for each 25 pupils in average daily attendance or one-fifth fraction thereof. A joint high school district shall be credited with such part of its total high school classroom units as the number of its resident high school pupils in average daily attendance in the county bears to the total number of high school pupils in average daily attendance in the school. No high school district or subdistrict shall be entitled to high school classroom units in excess of the actual number of teachers, supervisors, principals and superintendents employed. In computing the cost of educating pupils from the non-high school district in any county, the revenue received by any high school district from said common school fund shall be proportionately deducted from the cost for each such non-high school district pupil. Other wise charged against the non-high school district. The state board of education shall have express authority to make rules and regulations modifying the method of determining the number of elementary and high school classroom units, as provided herein, in cases where a modification is justified and necessary to carry out the spirit and purpose of this act.

Section 29. (a) All such apportionments to the several counties shall be based on certified statements furnished to the secretary of state by the superintendent of public instruction, showing the amount to which each county is entitled and the manner in which such common school fund shall be apportioned...
to each of the several elementary and high school districts or subdistricts within the county. A copy of such certified statement shall be furnished by the superintendent of public instruction to each county school superintendent, county treasurer, and county assessor of the several counties.

(b) The county school superintendent shall apportion to each of the districts in his county the amounts due them as set forth in the certified statement of the superintendent of public instruction; provided, that no district shall be apportioned for its elementary classroom units an amount which, when added to the district's apportionment from the state elementary school fund, will exceed $500 per elementary classroom unit. Such amount as may remain by reason of the excess amounts, if any, shall be prorated and apportioned by the county school superintendent to the districts or subdistricts that maintain standard high schools on the basis of the number of high school classroom units in each.

(c) It shall be the duty of the superintendent of public instruction on or before November 10 of each year to furnish to the county assessor of each county an estimate of the amount of revenue which will accrue to each of the school districts levying property taxes in his county during the current fiscal school year. Any funds received by a school district pursuant to the provisions of this act shall be used exclusively to reduce the property tax of such district. The county assessor shall deduct the revenue received and to be received by each district from the common school fund during the current fiscal school year from the amount levied as a property tax by said district and shall extend on the assessment roll, as the tax levy of such district, no more than the amount so remaining.

Section 30. The tax imposed by this act shall not apply to the gross receipts of any person from retail sales of tangible personal property made on and after July 1, 1936, but this act shall continue in full force and effect for the collection and payment of all taxes based on such receipts from sales made prior to said date.

Section 31. If any section, subdivision, provision, clause or exemption of this act or the application thereof to any taxpayer shall, for any reason, be adjudged or declared by any court of competent jurisdiction to be unconstitutional or invalid, such judgment or decision shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions of this act, and it hereby is expressly declared that every other section, subdivision, provision or clause of this act would have been enacted, irrespective of the enactment or validity of the portion hereof declared or adjudged to be unconstitutional or invalid.

Approved by the governor December 15, 1933.

Filed in the office of the secretary of state December 15, 1933.

For affirmative argument see pages 20-22.
For negative arguments see pages 23, 24.
Submitted by School Relief and Property Tax Reduction League, and others, in behalf of the School Relief Sales Tax Bill.

The relief sales tax asks them, for the first time in history, to assume temporarily less than one-twentieth of the tax load which home owners, farmers, merchants and home industries have carried alone during the last 75 years for maintenance of schools, public libraries and protection and services furnished by cities, counties and state services now enjoyed equally by all. The relief sales tax asks them to contribute only one-eighth of the funds normally required to keep schools open. All the money must be used exclusively for elementary schools (see section 29 of the bill). An amount equal to all sales tax revenues must be deducted from property taxes in every school district.

154,000 Farm and Home Owners Helped

Oregon's 154,000 farm and home owners will be the largest taxpaying group to benefit from the Relief Tax. With the aid of new money from the now untaxed 300,000 adults in Oregon, the Relief Sales Tax will reduce property taxes $4,000,000 a year, according to official estimates. It will also enable taxpayers to escape the risk of extra taxes, that the still-able-to-pay must make up in taxes for those who lose their proper ties or cannot pay.

The Relief Tax is extremely modest. It cannot harm the poor, and is so graduated that it falls proportionately heavier upon those who have more to spend. It is fair and easy to understand.

No Sales Tax On Farm Feed

For example, there is no tax on purchases of food or merchandise up to 25 cents, and only 1 cent on purchases from 25 cents to $1.00, according to the preliminary schedule already published. Big household items, such as rent, doctor bills, insurance, sale of personal or real property are NOT TAXED. There is no tax on such things as cattle and personal property feed when bought by farmers for their own use, and no added tax on gasoline.

The Relief Tax will be paid at the rate of 1 1/2 per cent on gross sales monthly by merchants and retailers of tangible personal property and utility services. It will be collected by retailers from customers. No merchant or public service corporation that collects the tax for the state, can use it as an offset against any taxes they now pay. The sales tax does not replace any other tax. It does not affect the income tax.

Every Other Scheme Found Impractical

The legislative adopted the two-year 1 1/2 per cent Relief Sales Tax because there was absolutely no other source of desperately needed emergency funds. Faced by the fact that schools must close or new sources of revenue must be found, they hastily adopted the so-called "property tax reduction" bills, violently opposing the Relief Sales Tax League.

The Gill League, advocating acceptance of the property tax reduction bill, and at the same time requiring the Governor to bring in a proposal for a sales tax, is the largest untaxed group in Oregon. The Relief Sales Tax will produce more than 200,000 adults in Oregon children depend for an elementary education.
found, the Governor and the legislature and various organizations studied every available plan. They considered all suggestions, including those offered by the so-called Gill-Osborne group that now so violently opposes this school and property relief measure.

The Gill-Osborne group offered nothing acceptable. State Grange Master Gill's recommendations included a 10-year bond issue for millions, another $400. This bill would have compelled tens of thousands of working men, farmers and wage earners to pay income tax on wages under $9 weekly. Representative Hilton introduced three bills. These would have merely transferred state income tax and lesser funds to the schools. But the Hilton bills provided nothing to replace these funds that now are used to support state hospitals, blind schools, etc. All plans were thrown out as impractical.

**Granger Sponsored This Sales Tax**

Then the Relief Sales Tax bill was suggested. It was conceived more than two and one-half years ago by C. P. Strain, a Grants Pass farmer, the chairman of the legislative committee of the Josephine county Pomona Grange, and a man who had formerly served as assessor of Umatilla county for 18 years. As assessor, Mr. Strain made corporations pay higher taxes. He certainly is "tool of Wall Street".

The farmers and grange members of the legislature joined with their colleagues in voting overwhelmingly in favor of Mr. Strain's Relief Tax as the only way to meet the emergency. 95% of Oregon's Tax Bill Paid by Real Property

Oregon operates 189 municipalities, 36 counties, her state and educational institutions. Ninety-five per cent of the above bill is paid from property taxes. The remaining 5% is paid by 2% of our people who pay corporation excise and intangible taxes.

Four years of depression have ground property taxpayers to the bone. Tax delinquencies in some counties have passed 70%. The state tax delinquency averages about 40%. More than $314,000,000 of property outside of utilities is delinquent! Over $700,000,000 of this property represents homes, farms and payroll industries. Most of the tax delinquencies are AGAINST FARMS, HOMES and small business.

**School Debt Piles Up Against Farms, Homes**

More than 900 elementary school districts, practically one-half, are without funds. Hundreds of other districts have no prospects of securing adequate funds because they depend upon property taxes. School district warrant indebtedness, already more than $6,000,000, soon will amount to more than $7,000,000.

Now with this $6,000,000 of interest bearing debt, and millions of back taxes delinquent, Oregon's taxpayers, or the minority in the another $41,000,000 IN NEW PROPERTY TAXES, already announced for next year. It doesn't require much imagination to see that property cannot pay such a bill during the next year and keep the schools open.

**Income Tax Returns Prove Oregon Has No Vast Wealthy Class That Can Be Soaked**

Last year only 18,915 payable income tax returns were filed, out of a population of 953,000 people. Of these returns there were exactly 19 returns on incomes of $25,000 or better and only 1 was for $50,000, and it was under $100,000. These facts prove that there are no "big fellows" to soak in Oregon and that they exist, so far as concerns Oregon, in the minds of these not fully acquainted with the facts.

This year more people of smaller incomes will pay income taxes. The 1933 legislature again lowered tax exemptions and raised the rates. About $1,500 million taxable returns, but revenues fell below estimates. By the year's end, it may total $1,500,000. This proves again that taxation of incomes has been pushed to its limit in this state. From now on income taxes in Oregon must "soak" the wage earner, small farmer and small salaried man if the income tax is to produce increasing revenues.

Even though income tax rates are raised, there will be thousands of Oregon citizens paying no taxes directly. Raising income tax rates could have only an infinitesimal effect upon your property taxes, because proceeds go only for state purposes.

**Teachers Get Less Than Survival Wage**

Scores of elementary schools have closed already. Hundreds of others are open simply because several thousand teachers have cooperated to their limit. Teachers have accepted salary cuts repeatedly until today 1,000 of them are teaching for less than $10 weekly on annual basis. This is lower than the "survival wage" set by the state under minimum-wage law to protect women from exploitation.

Rural schools, rural teachers and many small town schools, cannot continue to operate with $314,000,000 of property delinquent in taxes, with some school warrants not cashable at all, and no immediate method, except the Relief Sales Tax, to relieve the situation.

**Idleness for Children is Destructive of Character**

The Relief Sales Tax simply asks everybody to help out on the old American principle that every citizen should contribute some direct support to government and schools.

Certainly a tax so modest that it collects nothing on sales up to 25 cents,
and only 1 cent on sales up to $1 isn't oppressive for anyone. But a few copies a week from everybody according to their TENDENCY TO SPEND, for the children means the difference between closed or curtailed schools, and will uphold the right, a sacred right to an elementary education. Enforced idleness for children of school age is destructive of character.

Can't Go Forward With Half of People Taxed Beyond Ability to Pay

Oregon cannot go forward, economically or educationally, with one-half of her people taxed beyond their ability to pay, while the other half of her people goes tax free.

To protect your own property from tax confiscation to establish equality and justice in Oregon—vote to sustain the Relief Sales Tax—Vote 308 X Yes.

WARNING!

Scores of wild misrepresentations about provisions of the sales tax have been circulated, mouth-to-mouth, over the state. We mention a few of these fairy stories to illustrate what fair-minded people must be on guard against:

1. That school districts must levy a tax to raise money to collect the sales tax. False in every way. State Tax Commission will collect the sales tax.

2. Rural school districts get only money raised from sales tax in that district. Utterly false. Fact is that rural districts will benefit greatly, in some cases to 100% reduction of district property tax for schools, from money raised by sales to people in cities. A gift to rural farm and home owners!

3. $10,000 a month will be withheld from sales tax money turned over to districts. This is a gross misstatement. The law provides that school districts will receive only money derived from sales to people in cities, the tax to raise money to collect the sales tax.

4. Sales taxes are experimental. Answer: 16 states now have sales taxes, including Washington and California. In California property taxes have been reduced materially.

5. Merchants will not collect sales tax. Answer: Have you ever found a gasoline station that did not collect the Oregon gasoline sales tax?

6. Sales taxes are unjust to the poor. Answer: Have you heard of any loud complaints against the Federal "processing" tax (which is another name for Federal sales tax) on flour that goes into bread, on cotton and wool that goes into clothing, on meats, flax and other products? Farmers of America are beneficiaries of the Federal "sales" tax. Why should not Oregon property owners be come beneficiaries of an Oregon sales tax?

7. IMPORTANT—April 6, the Oregon Journal helped circulate an utterly erroneous statement to the effect that a citizen's home or farm could be seized for non-payment of sales tax. The state does not collect the sales tax from private citizens. They are not liable for penalty in any way. The law says specifically that "The tax hereby imposed shall be a direct obligation of the retailer". The retailer likewise has full right of appeal to the courts. The same provision as to tax enforcement is found in the income, inheritance, intangible and property tax laws.

BEWARE false rumors and wild misstatements. Get the facts. Write for more information.

ENDORSEMENTS

The Relief Sales Tax has been endorsed by Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers; Lane County Tax Conservation League; Coos Bay Mutual Creamery Ass'n; Property Tax Relief League, Polk county; Jacksonville Grange; Roxy Ann Grange; Profete Grange; Broadbent Grange; Most-Western Grange; Eastern Oregon Wheat League; Mayville Grange; and scores of other Oregon farmer and business groups, and school boards.

In addition to actual signers, this affirmative argument has been sponsored by: Astoria Business Women's League; Klamath Falls Women's Auxiliary, American Legion; Klamath Falls League of Women Voters; Parent-Teacher Association Council, Forest Grove; executive committee Myrtle Point Chamber of Commerce; Non-High School Board of Coos County.

SCHOOL RELIEF & PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION LEAGUE,
907 Spalding Bldg., Portland,
PAUL T. SHAW, Chairman,
F. H. YOUNG, Manager.

YAMHILL SCHOOL SAVINGS TAX LEAGUE,
W. W. RUSSELL, Secretary, McMinnville.

DOUGLAS COUNTY PROPERTY TAX REDUCTION LEAGUE,
D. N. BUSENBEAR, Chairman, Roseburg.

BENTON COUNTY SALES TAX LEAGUE,
CLAUDE BUCHANAN, Chairman, Corvallis.

JACKSON COUNTY FARMERS AND HOME OWNERS ASS'N,
C. C. HOOVER, Secretary, Medford.

Support Oregon's Relief Sales Tax

12 GO TO VOTE 308 X YES

1. This amendment is sponsored by the Oregon Congress of Parents and Teachers. It will put an end to the state's economic curtailment, as they stand today, to the children of Oregon. Busing will come to those designated in vote 308 X Yes.

2. This amendment will put, in the hands of the people, the power to tax or not to tax those who are not able to pay. The tax laws are punitive to the poor.

3. This amendment will provide a new, progressive tax that will replace the old sales tax. The old tax is not a tax, but a robbery of the poor.

4. This amendment is not experimental. It has been tried in 16 other states, and each of those states has benefited from it. Oregon can do the same.

5. The amendment is a non-regressive tax. It is a tax on necessities, a tax on luxuries. It is a tax to protect the poor, and to enable the poor to get an education.

6. This amendment is a declaration of rights. It is a declaration of the rights of the poor, the rights of the children of the state.

7. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.

8. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.

9. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.

10. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.

11. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.

12. This amendment is not a tax on the poor. It is a tax on the rich. It is a tax on those who are able to pay.
Submitted by the Oregon State Grange opposing the School Relief Sales Tax Bill.

12 GOOD REASONS FOR DEFEAT OF SALES TAX

1. There is a national movement sponsored by "Big Business", which attempts to put on sales taxes state by state until congressional resistance has broken down, so that a federal sales tax can be passed. The proponents of "Big Business" then plans to reduce or eliminate income taxes.

2. The main issue is Income Taxes versus Sales Taxes. It means—shall we have income taxes based on ability to pay or sales taxes based on the necessity to live? Reports on the California Sales Tax indicate one-third of the collections are paid on foods.

3. Expense accounts filed at Salem show who put up over $6,000 to promote the sales tax last July. It shows $1,500 by three railroads; more than one-third by timber and lumber companies, and another one-third by timber and lumber companies. Where were the farmers, laborers and trades people? They generally opposed the sales tax.

4. Several worthy bills, including income taxes and inheritance taxes were offered in the Legislature, but were pushed aside to make way for the sales tax. Sales tax bills were proposed in the regular and two special sessions and in each case came to a vote the last day of the session in the Senate.

5. The Sales Tax Bill submitted to the voters last July was full of baits for the voters. It exempted personal property, it gave part of its proceeds to Veterans State Aid Commission, part to the unemployed, and exempted farmers' sales at wholesale. The big vote getter idea this time is school aid. This bill exempts amusements, professional services, wholesalers, and transportation companies.

6. This Sales Tax Bill provides that the purchaser must pay the tax. There is a $50 monthly exemption on the seller, but there is no exemption upon the buyer. The seller cannot absorb the tax and so must even collect on the $50 exemption.

7. The school situation developed because of delinquent taxes. The record breaking payments of delinquent and current taxes have greatly relieved the school situation. The Sales Tax does not relieve the delinquent taxes, and to most of our people it means an additional tax. Old warrants must be paid first, then the $50 exemption to financial houses and warrant scalpers.

8. This sales tax bill would create a match money feature. The net proceeds go to the schools, one-fourth on basis of assessed valuations and three-fourths on classroom unit basis. Supt. Howard estimates the amount at $40 per unit. But the schools cannot get this money unless they have a teacher for each unit. For illustration, a school having 60 pupils enrolled is entitled to three classroom units. Such a school now would likely have two teachers, but under the sales tax they can get $400 more if they provide another teacher. Schools receiving $900 per unit of state elementary school funds cannot get any of the sales tax money, but their people would pay the sales tax.

9. Who will get the benefit from property tax reductions? Investigations in Marion County show that about 70% of those on the assessment rolls are assessed at $1,200 or less. Taking this as a basis of illustration, we find that if the average reduction, as claimed by the proponents is 4 mills, this means a taxpayer assessed at $1,200 would save $4.80. If he only spent $50 per month subject to the sales tax, his tax at 1½% would be $0.90 or a new tax to him of $4.20. These figures indicate that only 10 to 15% of the property taxpayers will gain from the sales tax and the balance will be compelled to pay the new tax to benefit those few who are large holders of property.

10. The sales tax is unfair and unequitable. The family with an income of $900 per year will spend 85 to 90% of it subject to the sales tax, but the man with a $5,000 income is not likely to spend more than 35% subject to the sales tax. The larger the income the smaller the percentage that is subject to the sales tax. The smaller the income the larger the percentage that is subject to the tax. This is why the sales tax is called an upside down income tax.

11. Small purchases will carry the heavy end of the tax. Those who are compelled to buy hand to mouth will be hit hardest. This bill gives the tax commission authority to make rules and regulations. In California the law comprises 16 pages and there are now 19 pages of rules which have the same force as law. The tax commission is subject to political change and they can revoke rules and make new regulations. In California the tax starts with a penny tax on a 15¢ sale, that being a 6 2/3% tax. One cent tax on a 25-cent sale is 2 1/2%. Therefore, the tax as collected will be more than 1 1/2% on small purchases.

12. The non-resident corporation or property owner who will not pay sales taxes in Oregon, yet he will secure the property exemptions. Corporations are large property owners, thus they object to the sales tax. They are the group who receive large benefits.

**KILL THE SALES TAX**

**VOTE 309 X NO**

OREGON STATE GRANGE, By RAY W. GILL, Master, Montavilla Sta., Portland, Oregon. BERTHA J. BECK, Secretary, Albany, Oregon.
Organized labor has traditionally supported the public schools; it yields to no other group in its defense of public education, and one of the major reasons for the opposition of the State Federation of Labor to the sales tax bill is that the federation desires to protect the schools from the exploiters who have seized upon school needs to attempt to fasten on the state a sales tax system. For this reason and because the Federation deems the sales tax the most unjust and undue tax proposal yet made we urge defeat of the present bill.

Supporters of the bill in the same breath tell us that the tax is offered only to meet an emergency; and that it will afford property tax relief. If the sales tax is to be imposed for only two years, how can it provide any relief from property tax beyond that time? In fact the emergency argument and the plea for the schools have been subterfuges to induce the voters to permit the establishment of the sales tax. In other states the same pleas, with slight variations, have been used, yet in all cases investigators have fought bitterly to retain the tax, once it was established. In Mississippi the sales tax was enacted for a limited period. At the end of that period it was reenacted and the rate was raised and is now 3 per cent. It is evident that the sales tax is inconsistent with democratic government, for in every case in which it has been submitted to a vote of the people, it has been overwhelmingly defeated.

It is significant that in Illinois and California, the two states which are put forth as examples of sales tax success, neither state has a state income tax law. We have seen that the interests have prevented adoption of state income tax laws and, using the same power, these interests inflicted the sales tax through the legislatures and the citizens were denied the opportunity to vote on it. Minnesota, New York and South Dakota are among the states which decisively rejected it, and very recently Alabama did the same. In a number of states which have adopted the sales tax, the chief motive was to raise money to pay interest on bonds. This purpose and the desire to shift the burden of taxes from the wealthy to the poor have been the causes for enactment of every state sales tax law.

Supporters of the present Oregon bill are attempting to deceive small home owners into believing that the sales tax will mean a saving to them in tax payments. The opposite is true. Few owners of town homes or small farms pay more than $100 in taxes. At best the saving which would be made by enactment of the sales tax would be $8; it will probably be not more than half that figure. Most of the citizens who own properties of this value will pay $15 per year or more in sales taxes. Instead of a saving ranging from $4 to $8, the small home owner will pay, by reason of the sales tax, from $7 to $11 more per year. Compare this with the saving of the telephone company which, on the basis of its tax bill this year, would save more than $60,000 annually if the property levy were reduced to an extent to save the small home owner $8 on his property tax while placing a tax of $15 on his purchases. Under the sales tax, the public utilities and other large business concerns and wealthy land owners would save huge sums and those huge sums would be paid by the small property owner and by those who own no property and have but meager incomes.

At least 75 per cent—and perhaps much more—of consumption is by the wage earner and others of low income. Citizens on the boarder line of existence would pay 75 per cent of the sales tax. It is the antithesis of the income tax system which exempts income to a certain point and which increases rates as income rises above the amount of personal needs. The sales tax imposes the heaviest burden upon those least able to pay and for the purpose of relieving those best able to pay.

The sales tax is a tax on consumption and restricts consumption, thus operating to prevent economic recovery.

The federal government is ready to provide funds for the rural schools; in the larger centers tax collections are improving, so that there is not the dire need which the proponents of the sales tax claim. Those who are conducting the campaign for the sales tax for the schools were advocates of the sales tax which was defeated by the people in 1933. There is no essential difference between the former bill and the present bill. The purpose behind the defeated bill is the purpose behind this one; the difference between them is that the branches of government which would receive the revenues.

The present bill will be defeated. But it should be defeated by a majority so large that it will end all attempts to foist a sales tax on the state. When it is defeated, labor, as in the past, will support the schools and will defend the schools against many of those who are now supporting the sales tax.

OREGON STATE FEDERATION OF LABOR,

By BEN T. OSBORNE,
Executive Secretary, Labor Temple, Portland, Oregon.
STATEMENTS OF CANDIDATES

for Nomination by the

Republican Party

and

Statements of Candidates for Nomination for

Nonpartisan Judiciary Offices

Primary Election
May 18, 1934
FOREWORD

The printing and distribution of the candidates' pamphlet is authorized by sections 36-2402 to 36-2405, Oregon Code 1930, it having been combined with the measures pamphlet for the special election, as authorized by section 3, chapter 90, Oregon Laws, second special session, 1933.

Only the names of those candidates for nomination for the various offices to be voted upon at the Primary Election May 18, 1934, who have submitted statements and portrait cuts and paid the prescribed charges therefor, appear herein. Many candidates have not taken space in the pamphlet.

As directed by law, statements of candidates for each office are printed herein in the order in which their names are grouped under the title of the office on the official ballots—that is, alphabetically.

Chapter 347, Oregon Laws, 1931, as amended by chapter 152, Oregon Laws, 1933, requires the nonpartisan nomination and election of judges of the supreme court and judges of the circuit court. Statements of those candidates for judicial offices who have paid for space appear in the back part of the pamphlet.

The book is mailed only to registered voters whose names have been furnished to the secretary of state by the county clerks of the several counties.

The official ballots for the several counties containing a complete list of the names of all candidates for nomination for the various offices are prepared and printed by the respective county clerks, who also assign the candidates' ballot numbers.

P. J. STADELMAN,
Secretary of State.

[26]
Mr. J. M. Devers was born in South Dakota, reared on a farm and educated in the public schools of that state. He was a student in Dakota Wesleyan University in Mitchell, South Dakota; later he attended DePauw University in Green Castle, Indiana, from which institution he graduated in 1905 with a Ph. B. degree. He studied law in the State University of South Dakota, and in 1912 received the degree of LL. B. and was admitted to the South Dakota bar. In the fall of 1912 he located in Eugene and began the practice of law, served as district attorney in Lane county from 1913 to 1917, was appointed assistant attorney general under Judge George Brown in 1919, and when Honorable I. H. Van Winkle became attorney general he was again named as assistant.

Mr. Devers was appointed attorney for the highway commission in 1919, a position which he still holds. As attorney for the state highway commission he has written or assisted in writing practically all of the Oregon highway laws. More than $177,000,000 have been disbursed by the highway commission under the legal advice of Mr. Devers without scandal and without loss to the state.

He is a member of the Methodist church, the Phi Delta Theta Greek letter fraternity, the Masonic Order, and is interested in all civic matters.

PLATFORM

The following are some of the measures and matters which Mr. Devers will support and urge as federal obligations: Public development, control, and/or ownership of hydro-electric power; river and flood control; harbor and port development; proper consideration of counties in which are extensive federal holdings, including restoration of fund to reimburse land grant counties; proper conservation and wise use of forests; legislation to insure labor its just share in the fruits of its toil; a stabilized and compensating price level for all products of farm and orchard; protection for the lumber industry and other Pacific Coast industries against destructive foreign competition; federal regulation and control at the source of the motion picture industry to prevent destructive influence of immoral pictures; wholesome but rigidly effective regulation of all public utilities interstate in character; regulation of all issues of stocks, bonds and other securities for the protection of the investing public; just and fair compensation to and treatment of veterans and their dependents commensurate with the service performed and the sacrifice made; adequate and continuous appropriation for public highways and parks; financial and other necessary help for the development of the flax and linen possibilities of Oregon; preservation of the fundamentals of free government and the liberties guaranteed by the constitution; support only those agencies, measures and processes which will best serve human needs and preserve human values for the Nation, Oregon, and the First Congressional District.

DEVERS FOR CONGRESS COMMITTEE,

By CUSTER E. ROSS, I. M. DOUGHTON, A. C. HAAG,
IRL S. McSHERRY, SEYMOUR JONES, HAL D. PATTON,
ALLAN G. CARSON, ED. W. MILLER, E. C. APPERSON.

(This information furnished by Devers for Congress Committee.)
A year ago last November, in the face of a Democratic landslide, the people of the First Congressional District of Oregon elected as their Representative in Congress, James W. Mott, the Republican nominee, by a majority of more than 22,000 votes. This, with one exception, was the largest majority given to a new Republican candidate in any Congressional District in the United States.

A NEW RECORD OF ACHIEVEMENT

Congressman Mott entered the National Legislature thoroughly equipped by experience, training, education and native ability to fulfill his campaign promise of "Active Representation in Congress", and he has done so. Although at this writing (March 30) Congress has been in actual session less than seven months he has already placed the First District of Oregon in the most secure position it has ever occupied in the House of Representatives and he has produced results of which his constituents may be justly proud. He is duplicating in Congress his success as a member of his own State Legislature, as well as his success as Corporation Commissioner of Oregon.

COMMITTEESHIPS—THE BULWARK OF A CONGRESSMAN'S AUTHORITY

A Congressman's first duty is to the people of the District he represents, and his Committee assignments determine to a large extent his usefulness to the District. Congressman Mott accomplished an almost unprecedented thing, for a new member, when he secured appointment to the two standing committees which control more than half of all the legislation directly affecting his District. These are the Committee on Public Lands and the Committee on Roads. He is also a member of the Committee on Territories and of the Committee on Committees.

THE COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES

The Committee on Committees, consisting of 48 members, is the Committee which makes the appointments of Republican members to all standing Committees of the House. This key position gives Congressman Mott a voice in determining the Republican personnel of every committee appointed during his incumbency. It also gave him a voice in securing his own committee appointments.

COMMITTEE ON PUBLIC LANDS

This committee has exclusive jurisdiction of all legislation pertaining to the revested Oregon and California grant lands in 16 counties of Western Oregon. Under the Chamberlain-Ferris and the Stanfield acts these counties are entitled to receive from the government approximately one-half million dollars per year. All bills to alter or repeal these or other land laws must be
referred to the Committee on Public Lands, and no law affecting the public domain can be passed without approval of this committee.

MOTT PROTECTS O. & C. REVENUE AND BEGINS FIGHT FOR INCREASE

Congressman Mott's membership on this committee has given the First District of Oregon a protection and authority it has never had before and which cannot be overestimated. Through it he was able at this session to prevent consideration of the McClintic bill to repeal the Stanfield act. He also stopped federal encroachment through the Taylor bill (providing for withdrawal of public lands from sale or entry) by having it amended in committee to exclude all lands in Western Oregon. He has begun his fight for tax loss reimbursement to Oregon by introducing a bill, which is now before this committee, to amend the Stanfield act to provide for reimbursement through direct annual payments out of the treasury to the counties in which the revested federal lands are located.

COMMITTEE ON ROADS

This is the committee which determines the road building policy of the government and authorizes the appropriations for all road purposes, including aid to states.

MOTT'S WORK BRINGS MILLIONS IN ROAD MONEY TO OREGON

As a member of this committee Congressman Mott at the special session helped to make and pass the largest road building measure ever authorized by Congress. The road provision of the Public Works bill carried appropriation of $400,000,000, of which Oregon received approximately $6,000,000. Congressman Mott was a member of the subcommittee of the Committee on Roads appointed to report and secure inclusion of this item in the Public Works bill. He took a leading part at the present session in bringing about the decision of the committee to continue this program, and it was on his motion that the bill now pending was reported. This bill authorizes appropriation of an additional $400,000,000 for state road construction, $50,000,000 for roads in forests and public lands and $10,000,000 for repair of roads and bridges damaged by recent floods.

NATIONAL EMERGENCY, RECOVERY AND FARM LEGISLATION

In national legislation Congressman Mott has actively supported the President's recovery program, including the Recovery Act proper, emergency farm relief, P.W.A., C.W.A., C.C.C., Home Owners relief and remedial banking and securities legislation. He has just as actively opposed legislation which he considered detrimental to the purpose and intent of the Recovery act. The latter has included the so-called Economy act (which has since been almost entirely repealed), the compulsory crop reduction measures, and measures designed to take from Congress its constitutional legislative jurisdiction.

In permanent farm legislation Congressman Mott has persistently advocated the Frazier bill for refinancing farm mortgages and the Swank-Thomas bill, which has for its purpose the assurance to farmers of the cost of production, plus a reasonable profit, on that part of their commodity sold in this country. He was one of the original signers of the motion to force a vote on this legislation. The motion has now more than one hundred signatures of the 145 required and will probably reach a vote before adjournment.

VETERAN LEGISLATION

Congressman Mott has been unanimously accorded a high place in the House leadership on veteran legislation. It was his motion to discharge the committees which was primarily responsible for securing the recent House votes on the Senate amendments restoring the compensation of disabled World War veterans and reinstating the pensions of Spanish-American War veterans. He has taken an outstanding part in every fight for remedial veteran legislation at this session.

(This information furnished by Mott for Congress Committee.)
OTHER LEGISLATION—DEPARTMENT WORK

Among other bills introduced by Congressman Mott pertaining to Oregon, and now under consideration, are Tongue Point naval base development, Lincoln county tax relief, grant of Yaquina Bay lighthouse property to state for park, grant of public lands to McMinnville, Forest Grove and Coquille, and numerous measures affecting individual communities. In process of preparation, based on pending U. S. Engineers' survey, is his bill for permanent prevention of flood damage in Oregon. He has assisted in presentation of all harbor projects before the Board of Army Engineers. He has kept in close and continuous contact with all Oregon loan and grant projects before the Public Works Administration and has assisted in securing speedy consideration for each of them. Practically all of these projects, including the coast highway bridges, have already been approved. He brought about rescinding of the order closing Chemawa Indian school by securing the President's personal intervention in its behalf. He presented the argument at the hearing of the Appropriations Committee on behalf of agricultural experiment stations in Oregon, which resulted in restoration of all appropriations to those stations.

In brief, James W. Mott has made good his promise of "Active Representation in Congress" and has produced and is now producing definite and continuous results.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH

Congressman Mott was raised in Salem, where he now lives, coming there from Pennsylvania when five years old with parents, the late Dr. W. S. Mott and Willetta M. Mott. Attended Salem public schools, University of Oregon, Stanford, and Columbia University, New York, graduating 1909. Engaged for several years in newspaper work. Graduated Willamette University law school 1917. Commenced practice of law at Astoria same year. Closed law office 1918 and enlisted in Navy, returning and resuming practice, 1919. Married, 1919, to Ethel L. Walling, former Oregon State College student and member of pioneer Polk county family. Elected city attorney of Astoria, 1920. Elected representative in legislature from Clatsop county 1922; re-elected 1924 and 1926. Returned to Salem, establishing law offices there, 1929. Elected representative in legislature from Marion county, 1930. Appointed State Corporation Commissioner in 1931. Elected Representative in Congress from First District, Oregon, November 8, 1932. Has two daughters in public schools. Church affiliation, Presbyterian. Member American Legion, Grange, Kiwanis, Elks, County and State Bar Associations.

RECOGNITION ACCORDED CONGRESSMAN MOTT

Committee rank comes through seniority. Influence on the floor comes through experience, knowledge of legislation and effectiveness in debate. In these two distinct phases of a Congressman's work the advancement of James W. Mott has been rapid. He is the fourth ranking Republican on his principal committee, Public Lands, and will be in line for the chairmanship when the Republican party returns to control of the House. The minority organization leaders have been quick to recognize his ability and skill in debate, his forceful and dynamic personality, and his sound knowledge and experience gained through eight years service in his own state legislature. Besides his position on the powerful appointing committee of his party (the Committee on Committees) he is one of the two new members holding an official place in the minority floor organization. He has been accorded a leading position in debate on several of the most important measures before Congress at this session, and is recognized by his colleagues on both sides of the aisle as outstanding among members serving their first terms in the House.

MOTT FOR CONGRESS COMMITTEE,
By Wm. P. Ellis, Chairman, Salem.
Loche H. Mardis, Secretary, McMinnville.
James E. Lewton, Executive Secretary, Forest Grove.
Earle B. Stewart, Treasurer, Roseburg.

(This information furnished by Mott for Congress Committee.)
SAM H. BROWN
Republican, Candidate for Nomination for Governor

The Gervais Farmer.
"Senator Brown is uncorrupted and incorruptible."—Gervais Star.
"Honest Sam" as he is known by his neighbors is a pioneer son, veteran legislator, and was a progressive in the days before progressiveness was popular.

His parents were pioneers of 1849. His father served in the state senate from 1864 to 1872.

All Senator Brown's life he has resided in the state of Oregon. His record as a private citizen and a public servant is an open book. We believe that his many years' experience as a member of the Oregon legislature especially qualifies him for the position he is seeking. He has always advocated a square deal for the common man and has consistently stood out against selfish or special interests.

His experience and training have been such that he can understand the problems of the common people; he sympathizes with them in their struggles and his heart and soul are invested in their cause.

He aspires to the governorship for the reason that he believes in that position he can serve the people of the state of Oregon and by his effort we shall see if a man of moderate means, one who is sincere in the cause of the common people, can ever aspire to the important position which he seeks.

He believes:
1. That the Bonneville project should remain in the hands of the people.
2. That the Grange Power bill should be ratified and utility districts should be formed for the protection of the people.
3. That taxation should be based on ability to pay and not the necessity to live.
4. That the sale of spurious securities to the unsuspecting public should be prohibited.
5. That the office of budget director, and other useless offices should be abolished.
6. That state employees should be under civil service; excepting elective officers, heads of departments, superintendents of institutions, and their professional assistants and secretaries.
7. That the small business man should not be legislated into the bread line.
8. That the laborer should receive wages sufficient to enable him to maintain a higher standard of living.
9. That the farmer should receive prices for his products such that he may become a self-respecting citizen and again find life on the farm pleasing and interesting.
10. That strictest economy should be maintained at all times, without sacrificing the efficiency of departments or institutions.

Senator Brown has been tried, and he has proved fair to all.

His leadership will be sincere, honest, continuous and efficient.

GERVAIS BROWN-FOR-GOVERNOR CLUB,
By ROBERT M. HARPER, Secretary.

(This information furnished by the Gervais Brown-for-Governor Club.)
UNEMPLOYMENT—This problem must be solved, either through unemployment insurance or more work.

INDUSTRIES—Oregon must be developed.

AGRICULTURE—Shall continue effort in behalf agriculture.

GAME—Take game out of politics forever.

LABOR—Staunch friend of labor.

BUSINESS—Cutthroat competition must be ended.

MONEY—Treat it right and it will come here.

TAXATION—Property must have relief. A new method acceptable to majority must be devised.

NATIONAL ADMINISTRATION—Bring Oregon into whole-hearted accord for National Recovery.

BONNEVILLE DAM—Favor cheap power without back-breaking tax load.

SEA LOCKS—Favor their immediate adoption.

HIGHWAYS—Father of $5 auto license fee. Finish established program. Share with counties and cities. No tolls on coast bridges.

GASOLINE—Reduce price of gasoline.

TRANSPORTATION—Bus, truck and railroad regulation that is fair to all. Public interest must be served.

LIQUOR—Personally a teetotaler. Voted against Knox bill in the state senate. Opposed to return of saloon. Favor sale through reputable establishments with severe penalties for violation.

VETERANS—Shall have my ear and aid.

STATE COMMISSIONS—Consolidate and save.

BONDS—No more bonds, except in dire emergency. Your home and farm can’t stand the load.

OLD AGE PENSION—Old age pension will continue to have my support.

JOE E. DUNNE.
RUFUS C. HOLMAN
Republican, Candidate for Nomination for Governor

SLOGAN: Protect the people from selfish interests. Permit no waste of public funds.

FAVORS: Public development and distribution of cheap hydroelectric power for the benefit of the people, rather than permit its exploitation by power trust, with resultant evils in finance and government;

Development of maximum navigation facilities at Bonneville to promote most economical marketing of eastern Oregon products;

Strict accountability of corporations for securities issued and effective punishment of swindlers, and other law violators;

Agricultural development;

Use of Oregon products and Oregon labor at living wages under wholesome conditions, to stimulate industry and relieve unemployment;

Fair regulation of truck traffic on highways without unjust discrimination;

Administrative economies; and I am opposed to sales tax.

"Rufus Holman is honest, incorruptible and steps firmly."—Oregon Voter.

As state treasurer, Mr. Holman has so protected state funds in his care, that notwithstanding banking conditions at the time he took office and the banking collapse of 1933, not a single dollar of state money, principal or interest, has been lost during his term.

Despite the fact that the general fund of the state was forced onto a warrant basis early in 1933, necessitating registration and computation of interest on thousands of warrants, Mr. Holman handled this additional work with dispatch without asking for an additional appropriation for clerical assistance.

(This information furnished by James W. Crawford.)
As a member of the state board of control he has given particular attention to the supervision of the twelve penal and eleemosynary state institutions, and more sympathetic and remedial care is given the unfortunate inmates in some of the institutions than previously, and the cost has been less.

His experience as an executive member of most of the state governing boards is invaluable to the state. He has demonstrated that he has executive and administrative ability of a high order.

As state treasurer, Mr. Holman is a member of the board of control, state land board, reclamation commission, state printing board, state banking board, bond commission, and several other state administrative bodies, and has been most constant and faithful to the public interest in his attendance thereon. In connection therewith he has been compelled to make frequent visits to all parts of the state. He drives his own automobile, buys his own gasoline, pays his own railroad fares, and all other of his personal expenses, and has never presented any claim therefor to the state in any amount.

Politically, Mr. Holman is decidedly progressive in the best sense of the word. His conspicuous services to the state have elicited the following tributes from Oregon newspapers:

Forest Grove News-Times: “He believes in taking the public into his confidence by letting them know what is going on and what Holman is doing. If more public officials gave the public more details of their work the additional information would result in not only greater knowledge but an increased interest in government. Holman apparently has the capacity for discovering small leaks which go to make up the large bill that is paid for governmental inefficiency.”

Oregon City Banner-Courier: “In Rufus C. Holman the state has a treasurer who is more than a mere guard of the strong box. Rufus is spending much of his time in finding ways to save money for the taxpayer. This should win the favor of the people.”

Portland Journal: “Mr. Holman is a product of Oregon, a lover of Oregon, and a battler for the welfare of Oregon and Oregon folks. His intimate friends know that he has not sought place or profit.”

Hollywood (Salem) Press: “Mr. Holman has made good. He has not pleased the politicians, but he has pleased the people. He has not side-stepped from the things he proposed when he first assumed office.”

Coquille Courier: “Not in the history of the state has any man been called upon to face the financial hazards which confront the present state treasurer. Not one dollar of the state’s money has been lost.”

The Independent: “Viewing Mr. Holman’s recent achievements as a state officer, one can see that he is only following the same course of able and conscientious public service which characterized his career as a Multnomah county commissioner.”

(This information furnished by James W. Crawford.)
Mt. Scott Bulletin: "The stock of Rufus Holman, state treasurer, has zoomed stronger than any other commodity on the political market, due to his careful and efficient handling of the state's finances."

Peninsula News-Herald: "Rufus has done a pretty good job so far. He has cut off a lot of unnecessary expenses. That record will be hard to beat."

Oregon Voter: "Rufus Holman is honest, incorruptible and steps firmly—an experienced and successful public administrator with constructive instincts, honest purpose and real vision."

Pendleton East Oregonian: "It is a good thing to have a man on the state board of control who is daringly inquisitive. Those who are doing good work need have no fear."

Mr. Holman in private life is a successful manufacturer, and in public life has been honored by numerous elections and appointments.

Appointed state treasurer in 1931; elected state treasurer in 1932 with the record-breaking vote in Oregon of 187,726.

As to Rufus Holman's management of Multnomah county affairs as chairman of the board of commissioners, during which time the Columbia River highway was built, let the Oregon Voter tell the story:

"He cleaned up Multnomah county administration under tremendous difficulties. He was a young fellow, only 36 years old, and his associates on the board were men old enough to be his father. The courthouse reeked with politics, and against intrenched inefficiencies of long standing Holman battled incessantly, winning victory point by point and in face of organized opposition that would have disheartened a less determined official. He was jobbed, humiliated, insulted, misrepresented, traduced, but he was not diverted from his purpose to supplant disorder and chaos by honest and efficient administration. It was a tough fight, but Holman succeeded. * * * Holman's steadfastness was superb. * * *"

(This information furnished by James W. Crawford.)
And, speaking of the present Holman, the Oregon Voter says: "There is so much fervor and courage in him that his campaigning is certain to make a profound impression. * * * We have implicit faith in his integrity and profound admiration for his competence, courage and thoroughness as a public administrator."

An unfailing champion of the things he believes to be right, Rufus Holman's public service has always been such as to inspire confidence in the man and his motives. However strong the opposition he has ever placed principles above expediency. Faithful to the people he serves, courageous to his convictions, fearless to a fault, qualified and experienced in municipal, county and state affairs, boy and man he has grown and developed with Oregon, its people, their resources and problems. He offers as governor, a combination rarely found.

JAMES W. CRAWFORD,
2920 N. E., U. S. Grant Place, Portland, Oregon.

(This information furnished by James W. Crawford.)
If I am nominated and elected I will during my term of office, faithfully and honestly discharge the duties of governor as a sacred public trust, without fear or favor; maintain and protect the school system of Oregon; uphold and defend the constitutions of the United States and Oregon, and devotedly support our democratic form of government; support the Bonneville project for development of navigation, irrigation and power, with adequate sea-lock facilities and transmission of electricity to the people direct without profiteering; vigorously advocate the use of Oregon products and the development of Oregon's vast resources to the end that industries may expand and payrolls

(This information furnished by Frank J. Lonergan.)
increase thus furnishing a greater field for employment.

I was born in Polo, Ogle county, Illinois, in 1882. I have resided in Oregon for nearly 30 years. I have worked as laborer, farm-hand, office-clerk and teacher and was admitted to practice law in Oregon in 1908.

For many years I have participated in civic and welfare work in Oregon; beginning in 1925, served five consecutive sessions in the state legislature; was speaker of the house at the 1931 session and was acting governor of Oregon in the absence of Governor Meier from the state.

I promise an honest administration in every particular to the state and to the people. I will enforce the laws with vigor, sincerity and justice.

Unemployment relief must be honestly and fairly administered and Oregon's resources expanded and public work developed to furnish honest employment at honest wages.

The owners of property in this state should have tax relief and to this end I shall work vigorously for reduction of taxes and for a tax limitation on real property.

I shall do all in my power to assist in the rehabilitation of irrigation and drainage districts.

The Oregon Liquor Control Act has proven unsatisfactory and disappointing and should be changed. The reputable and bona fide merchants, under proper license, should be permitted to handle liquors in the original package with proper safeguards against return of the saloon in any form and for full protection to the youth of our state.

I favor a reduction in the gasoline tax as soon as may be done without impairment of highway finances and work for the relief of unemployment.

I shall do everything possible to protect the people against wildcatting in stock and bonds and so-called securities, and shall prosecute vigorously anyone practicing fraud and deceit in this regard.

I shall demand and insist upon strict regulation and honest supervision of all public utilities in this state, to the end that the people of Oregon will receive the fullest protection and the greatest benefits to be derived with the full measure of adequate service, and I shall oppose vigorously and publicly any and all efforts to mislead or deceive the people in this regard.

The constitution of Oregon requires the establishment of a uniform and general system of common schools. This is mandatory. The common school system of Oregon belongs to the people and is one of the greatest assets of the people. Because of the delinquent tax situation in this state the schools of Oregon are seriously affected. An emergency exists. To maintain and protect these schools I favor the school relief sales tax as an emergency measure only. Such tax to terminate in June, 1936. In this regard, however, I pledge myself to be governed by the people's vote.

I have been a staunch supporter of former service men and war veterans, and I shall continue to be loyal in this respect both in public and private life.

I shall visit at frequent intervals all institutions in the state that are maintained in whole or in part by the taxpayer's money. I shall, from time to time, go into the different counties of the state, visit with my fellow citizens, and discuss the problems confronting the state with the hope of arriving at the best solution of such problems and thus promote the welfare of the people.

I shall frequently report to the people of Oregon, through the press and by radio, concerning the affairs of state so that the people may be kept informed as to how their business is being transacted.

Labor and industry, and agriculture in all of its forms, shall continue to receive my cooperation and support.

I strongly advocate that moneys received through fishing licenses be used to better stock the streams of Oregon, and that all matters of game and fish be kept free from politics.

I shall enlarge upon this statement and other important issues now before the people during the campaign.

To the principles herein set forth and to the development and welfare of Oregon and to the prosperity, peace and happiness of the people of Oregon I dedicate myself.

FRANK J. LONERGAN.

(This information furnished by Frank J. Lonergan.)
If Oregon is to progress and achieve its destiny as a state, we must nominate and elect a man for governor who is equipped to give us fearless and aggressive leadership in state affairs. He must have independence, he must have integrity, he must have ability and he must have the rarest of all political virtues, the courage of his convictions. Moreover, he must stand for principles vitally essential to the welfare and prosperity of our people and institutions.

In Ulysses G. McAleander, whose deeds of valor to our country have won him world-wide renown, we have such a leader.

He has independence—owes allegiance to no one except the people.
He is honest—has unquestioned integrity.
He has executive ability as well as the ability of leadership.

(This information furnished by Earl B. Stewart and others.)
He has the courage—superb political courage.
He stands for policies having for their objective the advancement of our state and the well-being of its citizens.
He stands for the development of Oregon's valuable waterpower resources for the benefit of the people and future generations.
He stands for intelligent regulation of our public utility corporations in the interest of the public.
He stands for rigid economy to the end that the heavy tax burden being carried by our farmers and home owners may be reduced. He stands for strict law enforcement—promising to appoint to office only men who respect our laws and who are qualified to enforce them. He stands for continuation of our high standards of education, the fostering of our public schools and our higher institutions of learning.
He stands for a continuation of our highway program along sound and economical lines. He stands for the preservation of our great fish and game resources and the development of our scenic wealth. He stands for the protection of home industry and the encouragement of needed new industrial enterprises. He stands for just and adequate compensation and protection for the men and women who served their country in time of war.
He stands for the canalization of our navigable rivers, and the development of our ports and harbors. He stands for a continuation of the business and economical administration already inaugurated in the state with greater efficiencies and economies wherever possible.
He stands for adequate protection and aid for our lumbering, agricultural and livestock industries.
Mr. McAlexander was born of Methodist parentage on a farm near the little town of Dundas, Minnesota.
After attending the public schools of Dundas and McPherson, Kansas, Mr. McAlexander attended the University of Kansas, from which institution he entered West Point Military Academy, graduating in 1887. After acquiring his military education, Mr. McAlexander participated in the Spanish-American War in Cuba where he was cited by his division commander for distinguished gallantry under fire at San Juan, July 1, 1898.
His genius for leadership in inspiring his command to accomplish the seemingly impossible is best exemplified in his defense of the Marne River line in France east of Chateau-Thierry. For his defense of the Marne the French government conferred on Mr. McAlexander the "French War Cross with Palm", and in the Marne counter attack the French again awarded him this distinguished service medal.
The French further made him an "Officer of the Legion of Honor", with a third "War Cross with Palm", for his uniform success during the war.
The Italian government also conferred on Mr. McAlexander the "Cross of War".
Mr. McAlexander was admitted to the bar of Montana in the spring of 1891. From 1891 to 1895 he was commandant of the Wesleyan University of Iowa and from 1907 to 1911 he occupied a similar position with the Oregon State Agricultural College.
Since his retirement in 1924 Mr. McAlexander has resided with his family at Newport, Oregon.
Mr. McAlexander served his nation with distinction and success during its wars and would render the same high type of service to the state.
Let us nominate him in the forthcoming primary and elect him Governor at the Fall general election.

EARL B. STEWART, Roseburg
WM. J. WARNER, Medford
BEN F. DORRIS, Eugene
FRANCIS W. ZIEGLER, Corvallis
FRED F. THOMPSON, The Dalles
PAUL L. PATTERSON, Hillsboro

(PAUL B. MacDONALD, Ashland
E. M. PAGE, Salem
FRANK MILLER, Forest Grove
LOCKE MARDIS, McMinnville
OTTO HEIDER, Sheridan

(This information furnished by Earl B. Stewart and others.)
CARLE ABRAMS
Republican, Candidate for Nomination for Secretary of State

Carle Abrams is a candidate for secretary of state on his record as a public administrator and legislator for the people of the state of Oregon.

He is pre-eminently qualified for this office. His experience as state industrial accident commissioner, state budget officer, secretary of the board of control and purchasing agent, and legislator gives him a background of knowledge which makes him the logical man for the position.

If elected Carle Abrams will give the people of Oregon the same courteous, progressive and efficient administration in the secretary of state's office that he has in other positions of public trust.

Mr. Abrams is a descendant of an old Oregon pioneer family, was educated in Oregon schools, graduating from Oregon State College. He is experienced as a farmer and business man. He served as a volunteer in both the Spanish-American and World wars. He has ever been interested in and fought for the advancement of Oregon and its people. He is in no sense bound to factions or localities, but has met and will continue to meet every issue on the basis of its effect upon the whole state.

As state purchasing agent, Carle Abrams inaugurated the central purchasing department with a resultant saving to the people of several million dollars. As chairman of the house committee on unemployment relief he sponsored and carried through legislation which resulted in the expenditure of over $5,000,000 of federal funds in Oregon and created a $1,500,000 state wide building program from PWA funds, and appropriated $3,000,000 of liquor revenues to match federal funds to relieve the unemployment problem.

As a member of the ways and means committee he actively aided in reducing the state budget from fifteen million to nine million dollars.

He has constantly fought against the encroachment of the people's rights by vested interests.

His platform, his record, his experience, his ability and his sincerity make him the man to whom the people may safely entrust the office of secretary of state. Because of his wide experience and special training, he has been endorsed by newspapers and men and women in all walks of life covering every section of the state, as the man best qualified for the position.

His slogan is: Experienced in State Business; Will Continue Capable, Conscientious Service.

CARLE ABRAMS FOR SECRETARY OF STATE CLUB,
By C. A. SPRAGUE, President,
JOHN D. MINTO, Secretary.

(This information furnished by Carle Abrams for Secretary of State Club.)
Born and raised in Oregon, a business success for 20 years, Earl Snell has an enviable legislative record culminating in his advancement to the Speakership of the House of Representatives.

Under his forceful leadership, backed by his knowledge of legislation and state affairs, Speaker Snell established a record for rigid economy, an achievement that guarantees an economical and business administration in the office of secretary of state.

How does Snell stand in his own business? His ability and leadership have been recognized by the automotive industry, which last year chose him as president of the Oregon Automotive Trade Association.

Mr. Snell lives in Eastern Oregon. He is married, has one child, and is a member of the Grange, American Legion, Masons, Elks and Eagles.

Snell's record of progressive achievement inspires confidence.

WM. RAGSDALE.

(This information furnished by Wm. Ragsdale.)
For six and one-half years I was associated with Charles A. Howard in the Marshfield schools and I have been closely in touch with his work since he has been superintendent of public instruction. This brief statement is by no means a complete record of his work in the state office, but it will give some conception of the value and scope of his activities.

Through plans outlined by Superintendent Howard in his annual bulletin to school boards, "Saving Money on Textbooks", the annual outlay for textbooks on the adopted list for the state (excluding Portland, which by law adopts its own textbooks) has been reduced over $125,000.

Under Superintendent Howard's plan the members of the State Textbook Commission now serve as an advisory commission on courses of study. The result is better textbook selection and better courses of study. The excellent courses now in use in Oregon schools are prepared by the voluntary service of able Oregon teachers working under direction of Superintendent Howard and members of the commission.

An outstanding feature of Superintendent Howard's administration has been the solving of educational problems through working conferences he has called on those engaged in the same fields of education. For example: (1) The training courses for high school teachers in all the colleges and universities of the state, both independent and state supported, now conform to a high standard of requirements worked out by representatives of these institutions through annual conferences called and presided over by Superintendent Howard. (2) Standard procedures for Oregon high schools are developed through working conferences of high school principals first called in 1929 and meeting annually under the joint auspices of the High School Principals' Association and the State Superintendent. (3) A supervisory procedure has been developed at the annual summer conferences of county superintendents first called by Superintendent Howard in 1929, which is designed to assure good rural school teaching in all the grades and to avoid placing the emphasis on eighth grade teaching to the neglect of other grades.

Oregon employs fewer persons in its state department of education than any other state. Though the demands upon the department have increased greatly, there are no more persons employed now than were employed 15 years ago. The vast amount of work demanded of the department in connection with the PWA, Unemployment Relief, CWS and FERA has been administered by members of the staff without additional cost to the state. Work that would cost thousands of dollars annually if performed by paid employees in the department is now accomplished through the voluntary service of committees of teachers under Superintendent Howard's direction.

The people of Oregon can depend upon the same fine service from Superintendent Howard that he has rendered during the past years.

O. L. WILLIAMS,
Principal, Marshfield High School.

(This information furnished by O. L. Williams.)
GUST ANDERSON

Republican, Candidate for Nomination for Commissioner of the Bureau of Labor

Gust Anderson has been a resident of Oregon for 25 years, and during these years has been closely identified with organized labor. He has been secretary of the Portland Central Labor Council for 10 consecutive years and served as a member of the 1929 and 1931 legislatures. He is a progressive and public spirited citizen and has devoted much of his time for the advancement of social justice legislation.

Mr. Anderson's training, as a labor official and a member of the Oregon legislature, has equipped him with full and complete knowledge and understanding of the functions of this office and will enable him to conduct the business in a fair and impartial manner.

Mr. Anderson's platform is short and to the point as follows:

"The Labor Commissioner's office should be made to function as it was originally intended, a department of state charged with the responsibility of safeguarding the wage earners' interests.

"I am opposed to the factory inspection fee system. It retards education in accident prevention and industrial safety by making fee chasers out of the deputy factory inspectors instead of permitting them to act as safety engineers.

"I am opposed to the waste of money by the Bureau of Labor in compiling and distributing statistics which are worthless and serve no purpose and I hold that no legal right exists to take money for factory inspection and then expend it for unrelated purposes."

As a member of the legislature, he introduced and secured passage of the present wage collection law; made improvements in the mother's pension law; was co-author of the old age pension law now on the statute books; secured passage of a bill placing the highway commission under the state eight-hour law; is author of the law requiring the state and its political subdivisions to have printing done in Oregon; legalizing apprenticeship school in Oregon and many other bills in the interest of the people of Oregon. He stands for clean and honest government and his slogan is:

"A New Deal for Labor and Industry."


(This information furnished by Wm. H. Fitzgerald and others.)
The name of C. H. Gram is again submitted for consideration by the voters of Oregon as a candidate for nomination for the office of State Labor Commissioner. His record of 27 years consecutive service with the Labor Bureau—the last 16 as Commissioner—has rarely been equaled by a former state official. Gram arose from the ranks of Labor and his many active years in office finds him with more and, if possible, warmer friends in the ranks of both employers and labor, than at any previous period. He reflects with pleasure that his best friends today are those who know from personal experience of his impartiality in enforcing the law. His proven efficiency and purpose was never more firmly established or more genuinely needed than in the present emergency. The most outstanding recent achievement of Commissioner Gram is the “Tri-State Agreement”, by which the three states of Oregon, Washington and California have united on uniform regulations in the canning and packing industries. The agreement affects approximately 65,000 women and minor workers and has forestalled untold trouble and losses, rendering smooth and unruffled the relations between employers and employees in these seasonal and often turbulent activities. Credit for the Tri-State Agreement belongs to Gram in his capacity as executive secretary of the Oregon State Welfare Commission by virtue of his office as Labor Commissioner, and credit is freely given him by employers and employees all over the three states. Another record of Gram’s activities is the collections of small wage claims for unprotected workers. During his incumbency hundreds of thousands of dollars have been collected by the State Labor Bureau and turned over to otherwise unprotected working people without expense to them, far exceeding the totals of the small-claims courts and free of court costs. Among the varied and vital responsibilities of the Labor Commissioner are the enforcement of labor laws, compilation of statistics, inspection of factories and workshops and prescribing of safeguards, inspection of boilers and pressure vessels, enforcement of electrical and plumbing codes, and laws regulating employment agencies, also the laws providing for monthly paydays and the collection of wages. The Labor Commissioner is a member of the State Apprenticeship Commission and executive secretary of the State Welfare Commission. The latest function of the Labor Commissioner is membership on the Commission for Self Help and Rehabilitation of which he has been elected chairman. This commission has to do with utilizing idle state and county lands for occupation and sustenance of unemployed and outcast workers under the provisions of a state law just enacted in line with the “subsistence homesteads” provisions of the NRA. No other state office carries responsibilities more varied and vital to so many of the common people of the state, and so effectively has Charley Gram carried on that he has had practically no opposition throughout his long and successful incumbency.

SLOGAN

“My record of 16 years as Commissioner is your guaranty of efficiency. Full approval and support of the President’s program.” R. A. HARRIS.

(This information furnished by R. A. Harris.)
Believing that any form of campaign that may rightfully be followed by candidates for other offices is unethical for a candidate seeking a judicial position, this short and plain statement in the state pamphlet is the only pre-election means that I personally will use to advise legal voters of my candidacy and qualifications for Justice of the Supreme Court:

Born at Canton, Ohio; age 63 years; resident of Washington county, Oregon, continuously since July 7, 1885; admitted to the Oregon bar June 1, 1895; practiced law at Hillsboro, Oregon, until May 22, 1915; upon that date, by appointment of the governor, became circuit judge of the Nineteenth Judicial district comprising Washington and Tillamook counties and have been elected three times to and now occupy that position—twenty years experience in active practice; eighteen years experience upon the trial bench.

GEO. R. BAGLEY.
No candidate for judicial office has ever followed higher ideals in submitting his candidacy to the voters of Oregon, than Judge Geo. R. Bagley. It is his belief that the judiciary should remain entirely free from political influences, and he has declined to employ any of the accepted means of gaining votes.

The undersigned friends and neighbors of Judge Bagley believe that in justice to him and to the people of the State of Oregon, we should make a brief statement of his qualifications for service upon the Supreme bench, and make known to the voters facts which he will not disclose.

Judge Bagley was denied the advantages of either high school or college education, and is truly a self made man. As a young man he worked on farms and in logging camps in Oregon. After hours and at night, he studied law in the office of the late Congressman Thomas H. Tongue, and was admitted to the bar in 1895. Since his admission to the bar, he has advanced and grown with the law until today there is not a better informed man nor a more able judge in Oregon.

For twenty years, he practiced his profession in Hillsboro where he served his community in capacity of legal advisor and counselor, and won for himself a distinguished position as a member of the Oregon bar. Upon creation of the 19th Judicial District comprising Washington and Tillamook counties, he was appointed to the circuit bench by the late Governor Withycombe. The confidence reposed in Judge Bagley by the people of his judicial district is attested by the fact that he has three times been elected to the bench by the people with whom he is best acquainted and whom he has so ably served. The high regard in which he is held as a jurist by litigants and attorneys is attested by the fact that he has many times been selected to hear and determine important civil and criminal causes in various parts of the state outside of his own district.

As a judge, he represents the highest ideals of the bench. His conduct has been marked by courageous administration of justice. His decisions have been entirely free from personal or political influences, and he has never been swayed by passion or prejudice. No judge has been more intolerant of the so-called laws delay. No litigant in his court has ever had cause to complain because of his failure to give prompt attention to his cause. His decisions have been prompt.

To those of us who know him best, Judge Bagley has proven himself to be a man of broad human sympathies, an able attorney and counselor, and a just and courageous jurist. He is the type of man Oregon needs on our supreme bench. We sincerely recommend him to the voters of the state of Oregon as an outstanding candidate to serve his state in the capacity of judge.

F. E. CORNELIUS
J. W. BAILEY
W. E. PEGG
JAMES H. SEWELL
A. B. FLINT
JOHN NYBERG
THOMAS CONNELL
W. E. MAYS

JAY GIBSON
F. L. BROWN
HENRY HESSE
L. L. CRAWFORD
T. P. GOODIN
J. A. THORNBURGH
WM. L. MOORE
EDW. C. LUCE

E. L. JOHNSON
HENRY KURATLI
A. J. HARTRAMFF
J. W. RAYNARD
LESTER IRELAND
W. C. CHRISTENSEN
J. E. REEVES
L. A. LONG

(This information furnished by F. E. Cornelius and others.)
JOHN L. RAND

Candidate for Nomination for Judge of the Supreme Court, Position No. 4
(Nonpartisan Judiciary Ballot)

In October, 1921, Governor Ben W. Olcott appointed me as judge of the Oregon supreme court to succeed the Honorable Henry L. Benson and I was elected to that position in 1922 and again in 1928, and am at present chief justice.

I was born in Portsmouth, N. H., and am a graduate of Dartmouth College. I was twice elected district attorney for the Sixth Judicial District which then comprised the counties of Umatilla, Union, Wallowa, Baker, Malheur, Grant and Harney, and once elected state senator for Baker, Malheur and Harney counties. I was also elected by the people of this state as a delegate at large to the National Convention held in Chicago in 1920.

When I first became chief justice in September, 1928, the court was more than two years behind in its work. Its business is now practically up to date. There are now seven members of the supreme court, two from eastern Oregon, two from Multnomah county and three from the Willamette Valley.

If re-elected, I will endeavor to serve the people in the future as I have in the past.

JOHN L. RAND.

(This information furnished by John L. Rand.)
To the Voters of the First Judicial District of Oregon:

I am a candidate for circuit judge and present this summary of my qualifications for that office.

I have resided in southern Oregon for nearly 35 years and have practiced law during all of that time. My qualifications can best be exemplified by the recommendations I received in support of my application to be appointed associate justice of the supreme court of the Philippine Islands, the vacancy having been filled by an appointee from the state of Kentucky. I was endorsed for that position by a great many of the first-class lawyers throughout the state of Oregon, by federal judges and justices of the supreme court of this state.

If I am elected I promise the people of the first judicial district to attend strictly to the business of the circuit courts in Jackson and Josephine counties, and to hear all cases and decide them, as well as all matters presented, promptly and without fear of any one or favor to any one, and in accord with the law or the equity of the case presented.

A. C. HOUGH.

(This information furnished by A. C. Hough.)
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